

COMUNIDAD VILLACANA

MINUTES OF THE ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING

Held at the Hotel Exe Estepona Thalasso Spa in Estepona on 6th of April 2024 at the second call at 10:00 a.m.

Total number of owners present and represented: 184.

List of Owners who attended the meeting:

Owners	Property	Coefficient
Baskerville Barbara	Silvia 04	0,3917
Batanero Elena	Patricia 25	0,2667
Beere Ian & Joanna	Eva 23	0,4426
Betteridge Sharon (representado Por Kata Szolokay)	Guillermo 10	0,1533
Brown Ian (represented by Adria Bello)	Dali 21	0,3912
Burgos Dolores Elena	Elena 33	0,3235
Bye Mandy	Jorge 67	0,3235
Carrillo Antonio y Grard Dominique	Picasso 34	0,1553
Carrillo Ortega Ana María	Alberto 13	0,1500
Constable Simon	Isabel 02	0,4404
Cortes Almazán Gloria	Jorge 18	0,1371
Coulson Michael James	Eva 01/05	0,3097
De Koster Gabrielle (represented by Peter Welsh)	Eva 15	0,1359
De la Torre Millán M ^a Esther (represented por José Manuel Martin Jimenez)	Picasso 02	0,5245
Doe Fred	Elena 03/07	0,7691
Britton Vienna (represented by Fred Doe)	Elena 08	0,3686
De la Cuesta Mayor María del Carmen	Guillermo 12	0,3052
Dunning Deborah (represented by Adria Bello)	Silvia 38	0,3898
Elliott Jeffrey & Margaret	Alberto 22	0,3882
Fagan Brendan	Alberto 23/27	0,3011
Garcia Millán Helga	Picasso 24/26	0,2144
Garrastazu M ^a Teresa (represented by José Manuel Martin Jimenez)	Picasso 46	0,1038
Guillen Ayo Cristina (represented by David Valadez)	Elena 09	0,3250
Guimarey Barros Cristina	Teodoro 09	0,1387
Hernández Francisco Javier	Jorge 53	0,3465
Ruiz Huerga Concepción	Picasso 20	0,1939



Kessels Silvia	Jorge 03	0,1592
Kimberley Paul	Alberto 29/31/33	0,4644
Lamb Andrew	Silvia 16	0,3423
Larking Susan	Patricia 02	0,2447
Martin Jimenez Jose Manuel	Picasso 44	0,3263
McCarty Marion (represented by James Southworth)	Patricia 43	0,4398
Yeabsley Clare (represented by James Southworth)	Isabel 04	0,4404
McClellan Sarah (represented by Kata Szokolay)	Teodoro 04	0,3726
Meditoivo Oy (represented by Kata Szokolay)	Patricia 13/15	0,4653
Mora Marquez Cesar	Isabel 03	0,1487
Muñoz Rafael	Miguel 04	0,1487
Neylon Amanda	Dali 27	0,3681
Nicholson Michael (represented by Julie Nicholson)	Jorge 05/07	0,2075
Norman Neil	Teodoro 30/32	0,7622
Norman Helena (represented by Dolores Elena Burgos)	Jorge 61/Teo.10	0,7585
Lopez de Carrizosa Isabel (represented by Isabel Oliver)	Jorge 01/2/17	0,5310
Patterson Barry	Marta 13	0,4520
Piñero Penalva Elena	Patricia 10	0,1669
Plaza Joaquin Alonso (represented by Gloria Cortes Almazán)	Jorge 14	0,2648
Rebollo Custodio Tomas	Miguel 02	0,2893
Romero Alvaro (represented by José Manuel Martin Jimenez)	Alberto 39	0,2924
Romero Pablo (represented by Dolores Elena Burgos)	Isabel 05	0,1487
Rosa Ruiz José	Picasso 30	0,1939
Rowden Jacqueline	Patricia 39	0,4111
Ruperez Herrera Araceli (represented by Rafael Muñoz)	Patricia 41	0,2631
Ryan Martin	Picasso 32	0,3004
Sanchez Crespo Francisco (representado por Rafael Muñoz)	Patricia 12	0,2142
Scislowski Witold & Ewa (represented by Ignacio Gomez Martinez)	Silvia 28	0,3556
Southworth James	Patricia 45/Teo.8	0,9142
Steger Grundbesitzverwaltungs UG	Elena 37	0,3402
Sugg Tom (represented by James Southworth)	Miguel 18	0,3370

Isabel

Symmons Edward & Melanie	Silvia 32	0,3672
Szokolay Kata & Szilvagy Balazs	Pat. 20/Eva 11	0,2623
The Green Shoe Company (represented by Silvia Kessels)	Silvia 14	0,4513
Torres Patón Antonio Mateo	Alberto 30	0,3235
Valadez Francisco	Silvia 07	0,3612
Welsh Peter & Jill	Eva 17	0,2428
White Lisa	Silvia 40	0,4371

List of Owners who submitted postal voting forms:

Owner	Property	Coefficient
Anderson Amanda	Jorge 39	0,3826
Bancoff Limited	Eva 7/9	0,4910
Barrera María del Carmen	Picasso 36	0,0958
Bolger John	Picasso 06	0,3185
Book John	Teodoro 24	0,5223
Bourne Heather & Lawson Louise	Eva 18	0,3414
Bowers William	Dali 23	0,3912
Bowman Lester & Niki	Alberto 16	0,3404
Brickwood Simon	Picasso 16	0,1667
Holley Deborah	Alberto 43/45/47	0,4644
Broadbent Matthew & Katrina	Silvia 08	0,3684
Buckle Judith	Elena 20	0,3912
Bunn Manfred	Miguel 15	0,3407
Cadona Audrey	Picasso 48	0,1324
Canston Limited	Elena 25	0,3882
Causton Anthony & Kate	Miguel 13	0,4246
Chapman John/Freedman Julia	Jo.10/12/Te.11	0,5517
Clark Jane	Jorge 16	0,2005
Clarke Anthony	Patricia 4	0,2436
Clarke Gail	Jorge 27	0,3735
Collins Declan	Alberto 08	0,3725
Corzo Perez Minia	Miguel 17	0,2203
Cove Oliver, Lilly & Mollya	Marta 01	0,3917
Cove Nicola	Patricia 37	0,5542
Craig Robert	Dali 03	0,3900
Crawford Ron	Elena 24	0,3681
Davies Susan	Silvia 20	0,3503
Del Ojo Peñalver Oscar	Jorge 45	0,3482
Douthwaite Brian	Jorge 19/21/23	0,4665
Duckett Ronald	Elena 12	0,3213
Dunstan Andrew	Isabel 9	0,4024
Ehrnreich Francesca, Olivia, Natalie	Jorge 59	0,5696
France Richard & Miles Marcia	Elena 39	0,3402

Frankl Avril	Elena 22	0,3681
Freeman David	Dali 25	0,3681
Gabay Sarah	Alberto 26	0,3649
Gegatronica	Jorge 13/15	0,3811
Gilbert Donna	Elena 05	0,3725
Gilmour Alistair	Marta 05	0,3684
Gimenez Carmen	Alberto 17	0,1939
Godsil Dorothy	Silvia 05	0,3612
Hanifan Harry & Vivien	Jorge 31	0,3031
Harding Patricia	Elena 30	0,3363
Hart Tracy & Jeff	Jorge 20/24	0,3818
Heald Stephen & Diana	Jorge 57	0,3625
Henry Michael & Anne	Elena 11	0,3250
Herbert Julia	Marta 3	0,3917
Howard Anthony & Georgina	Teodoro 36	0,4443
Hughes Gaye	Isabel 19	0,3370
Jarvis Stephen	Dali 37	0,2180
Jimenez Martin Macarena	Teodoro 3	0,1387
Joseph Jack	Jorge 55	0,3625
Kelly Karen & Stephen	Marta 19	0,3481
Kidney Edward	Marta 15	0,3423
Lee Brian	Isabel 25	0,3603
Llanas Cuenca Rosa Maria	Teodoro 12	0,3157
Loeland Helge & Linda	Jorge 28,30,32	0,4419
Loughran Jeffrey	Isabel 31	0,2179
Loughran Victor	Alberto 15	0,2897
MacDonald Resorts	15 properties	4,9039
Mardell Jim	Miguel 26	0,3603
Marriott Lewis	Eva 20	0,3414
Masterson Maureen	Elena 06	0,3686
Maxwell Brian & Lisa	Jorge 35	0,6341
McEnery Janet	Jorge 25	0,5092
Medhurst Gillian	Eva 14	0,3246
Mohr Paul	Elena 35	0,3235
Moloney Anne & Flannan	Eva 13	0,2554
Morgan Dean & Sandra	Dali 17	0,5086
Morris William	Teodoro 34	0,3893
Munro Ian & Alison	Elena 34/36	0,5542
Nissinen Markku	Miguel 08	0,2893
Nolan Gerard	Dali 33	0,3363
Norman Robert	Elena 1	0,3966
Norwood Gavin	Alberto 12	0,3250
Nutkins Greg	Eva 4	0,4390
O`Keeffe Anne	Alberto 24/28	0,7531

Handwritten signature

Pan Gazaba Jose María y Alvarez Orozco Mariana	Jorge 06/98	0,2267
Pasicznyk John	Jorge 63/65	0,7044
Patterson Simon & Norman Helen	Picasso 22	0,1788
Raymond Michael	Isabel 15	0,3814
Richardson Julia	Elena 32	0,3363
Rippingale Andrew	Elena 15	0,3404
Roe Alan	Silvia 3	0,3726
Samuels Neil & Laura	Eva 06	0,3896
Saywell Andrew	Miguel 11	0,3246
Smith John & Jennifer	Picasso 50	0,2282
Sutherby Anthony	Silvia 12	0,3256
Symes Irene & Peter	Alberto 4	0,3959
Tilley Jeremy	Dali 07	0,3666
Tomlins E. & Read A.	Eva 3	0,1592
Torrado Zamora Lorena	Alberto 21	0,1072
Traynor Sarah	Picasso 40	0,2315
Vaughan Richard & Sheila	Marta 07	0,3684
Voshchinskii Grigorii	Dali 09	0,3200
Vann Edward & Emma	Jorge 51	0,3464
Walsh Jean	Eva 02	0,4390
Welham Margaret	Alberto 36	0,3395
Wells Paul	Marta 11	0,3125
West End International	Miguel 20/24	0,6913
Wilkes David	Elena 18/Isa.11	0,7936
Williamson Lynn & Jay	Jorge 9/11	0,3811
WIVC	Te.20,Al.10/14,El.29	1,2305
Wood Martyn & Denise	Teodoro 6	0,3600
Wrixon Care Services	Alberto 01	0,4445
Wulff Inger	Patricia 22	0,1592
Wythe Stephen	Miguel 28	0,2257
Young Craig	Elena 41	0,3649
Young Helen	Isabel 7	0,2893
Zakharova Yana	Marta 09	0.3125
Ziderman Peter	Alberto 38	0,3605

List of Owners who attended the meeting and submitted postal voting forms prior to the meeting:

Owner	Property	Coefficient
Brown Sophie	Elena 31	0,3649
Casitas Villacana (representado por Francisco Valadez)	Al.7,Pa.27,Pa.6/8,Pi.42,Gu.6,Pi.38	0,9101
Curran Albert	Silvia 11	0,3158
Elston Quentin & Helen	Miguel 19	0,3618
McNulty John & Roberta	Miguel 14	0,3814

Mohr Paul (representado por Elena Batanero)	Elena 35	0,3235
Rosso Jose, Katarina & Andrea y Hernborg Olaf	Isabel 01	0,2894
Sweetman Victoria	Silvia 26	0,3467
Valadez Francisco	Silvia 07	0,3612

The President, John McNulty, welcomed owners to the meeting, in person and via zoom.

He thanked Carol and her team and the Villacana workforce for the superb work they do in looking after all owners and their needs so well and for keeping Villacana as beautiful as ever

The Minutes of the Annual Assembly held on the 15th of April 2023

It was agreed that the Minutes for the 2023 AGM were a true and correct record of the meeting and there were no matters arising.

David Valadez, lawyer and representing one of the owners, asks that it be recorded in the Minutes that a few days ago two burofaxes were sent to the administration requesting the postponement of the AGM as he considers that there is unequal treatment between the candidates for president due to the wording of the postal vote forms. Mr. Valadez asks to be informed of the exact number of blank postal votes given to the president in view of possible actions that may be taken once this meeting is over.

Pilar Mayor, lawyer of the Community explained that these burofaxes have been duly answered by the administration through herself. She answered them personally and even met with Mr. Valadez to discuss this matter.

Pilar Mayor said that 124 postal votes were received. She explained that there was no such inequality as Mr. Valadez suggested. The postal vote forms include the name of the president and a blank space so that owners can write the name of whomever they wish on the form. This is a common practice to avoid receiving blank postal vote forms. There are many owners who simply tick the boxes indicating how they vote on each item, but do not indicate to whom they are delegating their vote and their vote would not be valid if they have not appointed a proxy. To vote for the position of president and vice-president there is a separate form on which the names of the candidates for these positions do appear, so she understands that the problem alleged by Mr. Valadez on behalf of those he represents does not exist.

The chairman has not received blank proxy votes, all the votes he has received have voting instructions, therefore, without prejudice to the fact that it is recorded in the Minutes, it is of no consequence, nor does it invalidate the holding of this Meeting.

Cristina Guimarey says that she has recently been accused publicly and in writing by the president of acting aggressively and dishonestly in relation to her proposed change of administration. She pointed out that these accusations are unfounded. The president has preferred to publicly defend the interests of Trayectorias, which is an external company providing management services, to the detriment of defending the interests of the owners he represents.

Cristina Guimarey has demanded that the president prove with concrete evidence the accusations made against her. Otherwise, she will be forced to take legal action.

The president said that Cristina Guimarey's complaints would be noted and recorded in the minutes.

Embargo Proceedings

There were no embargo proceedings in process or to be initiated.

The president pointed out that this is an exceptionally good situation for urbanisations such as ours, and thus it illustrates the fantastic work which Carol and her team carry out for the Community.



Resolution A – Approval and Adoption of Accounts for 2023

That the Revised Accounts of the Comunidad for the year ending 31 December 2023 be accepted.

After various questions which were asked by owners to the auditor and the administration, the acceptance of the audited accounts of the Community for the year ending 31 December 2023 was put to the vote and, there being a greater number of votes in favour, they were ADOPTED BY MAJORITY, with 27 votes against and 11 abstentions.

Votes against:

1. Alberto 39	0,2924%	15. Miguel 04	0,1487%
2. Elena 09	0,3250%	16. Patricia 12	0,2142%
3. Elena 33	0,3235%	17. Patricia 13/15	0,4653%
4. Eva 11/Pa.20	0,2623%	18. Patricia 25	0,2667%
5. Guillermo 08	0,1133%	19. Patricia 41	0,2631%
6. Guillermo 10	0,1533%	20. Picasso 02	0,5245%
7. Isabel 03	0,1487%	21. Picasso 20	0,1939%
8. Isabel 05	0,1487%	22. Picasso 44	0,3263%
9. Jorge 01,02,17	0,5310%	23. Picasso 46	0,1038%
10. Jorge 14	0,2648%	24. Silvia 28	0,3556%
11. Jorge 18	0,1371%	25. Teodoro 04	0,3726%
12. Jorge 53	0,3465%	26. Teodoro 07	0,1133%
13. Jo.61/Te.10	0,7585%	27. Teodoro 09	0,1387%
14. Marta 19	0,3481%		

Abstentions

1. Eva 06	0.3896%
2. Jorge 5/7	0.2075%
3. Jorge 6/8	0.22675
4. Jorge 45	0.3482%
5. Marta 03	0.3917%
6. Marta 07	0.3684%
7. Marta 09	0.3125%
8. Miguel 02	0.2893%
9. Miguel 17	0.2203%
10. Picasso 34	0.1553%
11. Silvia 16	0.3423%



Resolution B – Approval and Adoption of the Budget for 2024

	Actual 2023		Budget 2024	
INCOME				
Community quotas	982.747,00		982.747,00	
Bank interest	1.629,88		1.500,00	
Maintenance Income	290,00	-		(1)
Rental Income	58.649,68		42.710,00	(2)
Other Income	12.013,00		12.400,00	(3)
INCOME	1.055.329,56		1.039.357,00	
EXPENSES				
Personnel expenses	412.797,51		408.473,00	
Maintenance	72.905,06		74.900,00	
Painting of blocks	9.193,36		9.000,00	
Administration	139.601,40		139.601,00	
Professional fees	12.453,79		13.000,00	(4)
General Meeting expenses	7.556,21		7.700,00	
Insurance	17.803,75		17.800,00	
Water	12.115,92		15.000,00	
Electricity	23.230,59		16.970,00	(5)
Office & communications & WIFI	9.443,85		9.378,00	
Taxes	16.275,13		17.000,00	
Discount to owners	114.328,51		118.000,00	
Security company	173.066,73		176.500,00	
Financial expenses	986,72		1.000,00	
Defibrillator	1.234,20		1.235,00	
Life guards	13.035,94		13.800,00	
Previous year expenses	1.147,69	(6)	-	
Loss on uncollectable receivables	23,15			
Coastal pathway expenses	46.621,32	(7)	-	
TOTAL EXPENSES	1.083.820,83		1.039.357,00	
ORDINARY RESULT FOR THE PERIOD	- 28.491,27			



NOTES TO BUDGET

- 1) The Maintenance Income is the amount charged by the Community to owners for work carried out by Community personnel in private houses. As this year the employees have been extremely busy with the coastal path and other communal maintenance matters not much income has been accrued for work in private properties. We have therefore not budgeted any amount for 2024.
- 2) The Rental Income is higher than budgeted as Lopali (Aztec Restaurant) has been paying a monthly rent which was not budgeted as there is an ongoing legal proceeding at present against the tenants to remove them from the premises. As it is uncertain how long the legal proceeding will take we have not taken into account their rental for the 2024 Budget.
- 3) Other Income is the income received by the Community at the end of the year when owners are charged a fee for the payment of their utility bills.
- 4) Professional fees include labour costs and data protection costs, auditor, legal and professional fees.
- 5) The cost of electricity is decreasing, mainly due to three factors:
 - a) The stabilisation of the war in Ukraine: when the conflict broke out, the price of kWh shot up, but currently it has hardly any repercussions.
 - b) Cheaper gas: when Russia stopped supplying gas to Europe, gas prices rose sharply, and since the supply of liquefied gas from other countries has been assured, the price has fallen considerably.
 - c) The use of more renewable energies for the production of electricity significantly reduces the Co2 tax, so that the kWh remains more stable. Electricity always fluctuates and it is difficult to predict whether it is a temporary decline, if everything continues as it is and there are no catastrophes such as: another war, a new Covid, etc... electricity will remain stable.
- 6) Previous year's expenses include two bills from the pool analysis company and one from the pest control company. Both companies had, in error not sent their bills in 2022.
- 7) Coastal pathway expenses were not budgeted in 2023. The Town Hall paid for the boundary wall which was circa 60.000 Euros.

The Budget for 2024, which was sent to the owners together with the notice of the meeting, was put to the vote and as the number of votes in favour were greater, it was ADOPTED BY MAJORITY with 27 votes against and 11 abstentions.

Votes against:

1. Alberto 39	0,2924%	10. Jorge 18	0,1371%	19. Patricia 41	0,2631%
2. Elena 09	0,3250%	11. Jorge 53	0,3465%	20. Picasso 02	0,5245%
3. Elena 33	0,3235%	12. Jo.61/Te.10	0,7585%	21. Picasso 20	0,1939%
4. Eva 11/Pa.20	0,2623%	13. Marta 19	0,3481%	22. Picasso 44	0,3263%
5. Guillermo 08	0,1133%	14. Miguel 04	0,1487%	23. Picasso 46	0,1038%
6. Guillermo 10	0,1533%	15. Patricia 10	0,1669%	24. Silvia 28	0,3556%
7. Isabel 03	0,1487%	16. Patricia 12	0,2142%	25. Teodoro 04	0,3726%
8. Isabel 05	0,1487%	17. Patricia 13/15	0,4653%	26. Teodoro 07	0,1133%
9. Jorge 14	0,2648%	18. Patricia 25	0,2667%	27. Teodoro 09	0,1387%

Abstentions

1. Eva 06	0,3896%	5. Jorge 45	0,3482%	9. Miguel 02	0,2893%
2. Guillermo 12	0,3052%	6. Marta 03	0,3917%	10. Miguel 17	0,2203%
3. Jorge 6/8	0,22675	7. Marta 07	0,3684%	11. Picasso 36	0,09585
4. Jorge 39	0,3826%	8. Marta 09	0,3125%		

Resolution C – Appointment of Administration

The President proposes that Trayectorias Administraciones S.L. be reappointed as the Administrator/Manager of the Community which is Carol Holmes, her assistant Natalia Ramirez and the accountant Fernando Pina for 139,601 Euros a year (VAT included).

Cristina Guimarey proposes that Belén Calleja A.I.P. S.L.be appointed as the Administrator for 75,000 Euros a year (VAT included).

After a very long debate the voting took place and there were 34 votes in favour (including postal votes) of Belén Calleja A.I.P. S.L. and 144 votes in favour (including postal votes) of Trayectorias Administraciones SL with 2 abstentions.

Trayectorias Administraciones SL is therefore reappointed as administrator of Comunidad Villacana for another year.

Votes in favour of Belen Calleja:

1. Alberto 39	0,2924%	13. Jorge 06/08	0,2267%	25. Patricia 25	0,2667%
2. Elena 09	0,3250%	14. Jorge 14	0,2648%	26. Patricia 41	0,2631%
3. Elena 33	0,3235%	15. Jorge 18	0,1371%	27. Picasso 02	0,5245%
4. Elena 35	0,3235%	16. Jorge 53	0,3465%	28. Picasso 20	0,1939%
5. Eva 11/Pa.20	0,2623%	17. Jo.61/Te.10	0,7585%	29. Picasso 44	0,3263%
6. Guillermo 08	0,1133%	18. Marta 19	0,3481%	30. Picasso 46	0,1038%
7. Guillermo 10	0,1533%	19. Miguel 02	0,2893%	31. Silvia 28	0,3556%
8. Isabel 02	0,4404%	20. Miguel 04	0,1487%	32. Teodoro 04	0,3726%
9. Isabel 03	0,1487%	21. Miguel 17	0,2203%	33. Teodoro 07	0,1133%
10. Isabel 05	0,1487%	22. Patricia 10	0,1669%	34. Teodoro 09	0,1387%
11. Jorge 01/02/17	0,5310%	23. Patricia 12	0,2142%		
12. Jorge 05/07	0,2075%	24. Patricia 13/15	0,4653%		

Abstentions:

1. Marta 03 0.3917%
2. Picasso 36 0.0958%

Election of President

Two candidates put their names forward for the role of president, John McNulty, who is the current president and Elena Batanero Gondra

John McNulty was reappointed as president of Comunidad Villacana for another year by the majority.

Election of Vice-President

As there were no other candidates Helga Garcia Millán was reappointed as vice-president of Comunidad Villacana for another year.

Update on Court Proceedings against Lopali 2000 SL (Aztec Restaurant)

Pilar Mayor said that we already have a judgement in favour of Villacana. Lopali's legal representation has appealed the sentence and we are currently going through this process.

Pilar Mayor explained that Lopali has offered on several occasions, through their lawyer, to hand over the keys to the premises, but in exchange for a fairly large sum of money. Logically it is not acceptable when there is a judgement in favour of Villacana and the only action to take is to continue with the appeal and, when the time is right, to begin with the provisional enforcement.

The appeal has been admitted for processing, we have already replied. The Provincial Court, in matters of evictions, is taking approximately eighteen months to issue a judgement, mainly because it is an eviction due to expiry of the term, which are not as fast as evictions due to non-payment. However, it is possible to file a provisional execution of the judgment, but this can also take a long time.

Building Works

- a) Control of the building works being carried out and measures to be adopted** (proposed by Isabel and Eva Oliver for and on behalf of Isabel López de Carrizosa and Silvia Kessels)

It has been detected that a series of works are being carried out in different houses in the Community of Villacana that we understand should not be allowed because they infringe the Horizontal Property Law.

These works consist mainly of:

- 1) Changes to the façades of dwellings, (openings, enclosures or changes to openings)
- 2) Changes to the volume of the houses and the floor plan (with the construction of walls and other enclosures).

These works seriously alter the general and architectural structure of the complex, as well as its configuration and exterior state, and are therefore expressly prohibited according to the provisions of article 7.1 of the Horizontal Property Law, without the Assembly of Owners being able to authorise them.

On the other hand, the fact that they may have a building permit would not make them legal either, as the municipal licences only analyse the planning regulations but not the compliance with the aforementioned Horizontal Property Law.

The representatives of the Community of Owners must ensure that the Horizontal Property Law is complied with by monitoring and preventing works such as those described above from being carried out, as it is part of their duties to look after the general interests of the community. And these works are detrimental to the community, as well as to all the owners of the complex, as they depreciate the value of their assets, by altering the uniformity of the complex, as well as the architectural values of our community of Villacana, so characteristic and unique and which are a reference of the popular architecture of the 70's on the Costa del Sol.

There is no risk for the community in defending its interests and ensuring compliance with the law. The risk is precisely not to do so and to accept this situation, as it will lead to an endless proliferation of illegal works and we will end up with a community that is completely different from the original and very deteriorated.

The defense of the interests of the community to control this type of works is part of the functions of the community and does not have to involve any extra cost.

If it were necessary to go to court, the matter could be defended by a lawyer, and in this case the cost of hiring one would have to be assessed.

Decisions required:

- 1) Collect the necessary information to analyse the situation of the works that are being carried out in Villacana, as well as those that have been carried out and have not yet expired, with a brief description and photographic report of the same.
- 2) Collect information from the owners to find out whether they have planning permission
- 3) Analyse this information to determine which works are illegal either because they go against article 7.1 of the Horizontal Property Law, (which happens when they affect the exterior configuration and general structure of the houses) or because they do not have an urban planning license.
- 4) To carry out the necessary actions to stop the illegal works and, if necessary, to demolish them and restore the dwelling to its original state if they have been completed.
- 5) Not to authorise any works that contravene the prohibition of article 7.1 LPH, i.e. those that affect the exterior configuration and general structure of the houses, whether or not they have a planning permission.

The president explained that this point was submitted as a proposal by Eva Oliver and Silvia Kessels. He felt that it did not warrant being a proposal because it is based on the Horizontal Property Law and the law is binding and absolute.

It was included as an update to all owners which everyone must have received. It is setting out concerns about the number of changes that are occurring across Villacana in terms of its architecture. The Law of Horizontal Property is absolute in terms of external changes to properties not being permitted. However, external changes have been happening in Villacana. The Law is there to protect us all. Villacana has a charming architecture. Villacana is changing and we need to control those changes.

b) Register of Building Works

The Horizontal Property Law prohibits any changes to the external appearance of any Community property, with no exception.

The president proposes to establish and maintain a register of all works to properties, internal and external. This register will be posted and maintained exclusively by the Administration of the Community on the owners' area of our website, so the information would be open to assessment by all owners, who could check whether the community is informed of all the works being carried out. This will provide an important management tool for the Community and its Administration, and an important source of information for owners, thus facilitating proper assessment and control of owners' works. It will also help avoid owners unwittingly incurring costs in carrying out prohibited works and then having to incur yet further



costs in having to demolish these works and reinstate their property to its original condition.

This will require the support of owners in providing the Administration with essential details of any internal works that they are planning, and to obtain any necessary approvals for permissible works, before any such work commences.

Such details to include:

- Address of the property; the owner's name to be visible to the Administration only.
- An outline of the proposed works, including:
 - confirmation that no changes will be made to the external appearance
 - confirmation of the status of the Town Hall licence
- The planned start date and estimated completion date;

Owners will be able to monitor the register online, and in particular to check the register as soon as they become aware of any works commencing.

If external works to the property are commenced without necessary Community approval, the Community may take legal action and recover legal and other costs incurred by the Community from the offending owner.

Works inside a property require Community permission only if these works potentially affect the safety or integrity of the building. It will be necessary to inform the community so that it can check that it has the required building permit and, if the works have been started without a permit from the Town Hall, it can be reported to the police, who have the power to stop the works.

Every property owner who is planning to carry out works on his property is also obliged to inform his neighbours in a timely manner about the scope, duration, working hours, possible impacts and measures taken to minimise nuisance.

None of this will limit the rights of an owner to raise a private objection or legal dispute with any other owner carrying out building works, if they believe that the building works are causing them harm as a result of either the design or the construction impacts, or both. The Community is not responsible for the acts or omissions of an owner.

This would provide the Community and its Administration with shared knowledge and greatest transparency about building works that are being planned or carried out, enabling appropriate controls on these works to ensure compliance with Horizontal Property Law, and thus to maintain the beautiful character and aesthetics of Villacana. It will likewise help to control construction nuisance.

The cost of any enforcement action that follows will be approved on a case-by-case basis.

This is a new administrative process to improve controls over building works where necessary, thus not requiring approval

Resolution D – Initiate legal proceedings against the owner of Guillermo 12 for carrying out illegal works to the exterior of the building and not having restored it to the original state

The architect, Guillermo Carrillo, representing Carmina de la Cuesta, owner of Guillermo 12, explained the changes that Mrs. de la Cuesta wanted to make to her house. As she has been living in the urbanisation for a long time, she has seen that many houses have been renovated and she wanted the renovation of her house to be integrated into the overall design. The architect prepared a document which Mrs. de la Cuesta sent to the Community with the details of the work, which was to open a window the same as that of the neighbours so that it would be well integrated and the second part was to incorporate the terrace of the upper floor to the bedroom, which has been undertaken by many neighbours using different materials. The roof would be extended so that from the outside the extension would not be evident. In his opinion, what Mrs. de la Cuesta is building is a respectful extension which is in keeping with the appearance of the urbanisation and which, once finished, if it can be finished, will go completely unnoticed.



Carmina de la Cuesta pointed out that before starting the work, she asked for permission from the community, presented the project in writing to the community and was given, in principle, the go-ahead.

Isabel Oliver pointed out that Villacana was designed by her father. In the statutes there is a clause that the exterior of the houses cannot be modified and that is why the community is the first one to go against any owner who is changing the exterior configuration of the houses.

The voting took place and there were 110 votes in favour (including postal votes) of initiating legal proceedings against the owner of Guillermo 12 for carrying out illegal works to the exterior of the building and not having restored it to the original state, 28 votes against and 36 abstentions. The motion is approved.

Votes against:

1. Alberto 17	0,1939%	11. Isabel 05	0,1487%	21. Patricia 41	0,2631%
2. Alberto 39	0,2924%	12. Jorge 14	0,2648%	22. Picasso 02	0,5245%
3. Dali 07	0,3666%	13. Jorge 18	0,1371%	23. Picasso 44	0,3263%
4. Dali 21	0,3912%	14. Jorge 53	0,3465%	24. Picasso 46	0,1038%
5. Elena 3/7	0,7691%	15. Jorge 61 /Te.10	0,7585%	25. Silvia 28	0,3556%
6. Elena 8	0,3686%	16. Miguel 04	0,1487%	26. Silvia 38	0,3898%
7. Elena 33	0,3235%	17. Miguel 17	0,2203%	27. Teodoro 04	0,3726%
8. Eva 11/Pa. 20	0,2623%	18. Miguel 19	0,3618%	28. Teodoro 09	0,1387%
9. Guillermo 10	0,1533%	19. Patricia 12	0,2142%		
10. Guillermo 12	0,3052%	20. Patricia 13/15	0,4653%		

Abstentions:

1. Alberto 01	0,4445%	13. Jorge 67	0,5099%	25. Picasso 30	0,1939%
2. Alberto 22	0,3882%	14. Marta 07	0,3684%	26. Picasso 32	0,3004%
3. Alberto 26	0,3649%	15. Miguel 02	0,2893%	27. Picasso 34	0,1553%
4. Alberto 29	0,4644%	16. Miguel 08	0,2893%	28. Picasso 36	0,0958%
5. Alberto 43	0,4644%	17. Miguel 18	0,3370%	29. Silvia 07	0,3612%
6. Elena 09	0,3250%	18. Patricia 10	0,1669%	30. Teodoro 03	0,1387%
7. Elena 39	0,3402%	19. Patricia 22	0,1592%	31. Teodoro 07	0,1133%
8. Eva 1/5	0,3097%	20. Patricia 25	0,2667%	32. Teodoro 12	0,3157%
9. Eva 02	0,4390%	21. Patricia 45/Te.8	0,9142%	33. Teodoro 30/32	0,7622%
10. Guillermo 08	0,1133%	22. Picasso 16	0,1667%	34. Casitas Villacana	0,9101%
11. Jorge 13/15	0,3811%	23. Picasso 20	0,1939%	35. WIVC	1,2305%
12. Jorge 45	0,3482%	24. Picasso 24/26	0,2144%	36. MacDonald Res.	4,9039%

Resolution E – Initiate legal proceedings against the owner of Elena 3 & 7 for carrying out illegal works to the exterior of the building and not having restored it to the original state

Fred Sines, owner of Elena 3/7 explained that he asked the administration what he could do to his villa before he carried out the work. Authorisation was given in writing, and he therefore has gone ahead with the work. At the moment the housing in the roof is slightly high but the builders will have a look at this and the height will be reduced.

After a long discussion it was established that Carol Holmes does not have the authority to allow Mr. Sines to install external blinds on the windows when no other property in the community has them.

Mr. Sines said that he has spent 56,000 Euros on these blinds. He pointed out that he has the authorisation in writing.

The president confirmed that Carol Holmes did send an email to Fred Sines giving him authorization to install the blinds.

He also confirmed that Carol Holmes acted in good faith in this regard. The Law of Horizontal Property is absolute, and it is not in



the jurisdiction of the President or the Administrator to permit external changes, or to be the arbiter of architectural matters.

The president pointed out that Fred Sines also acted in good faith, he did ask for permission for his blinds which he received and he spent the money.

The voting took place and there were 110 votes in favour (including postal votes) of initiating legal proceedings against the owner of Elena 3 & 7 for carrying out illegal works to the exterior of the building and not having restored it to the original state, 23 votes against and 41 abstentions. The motion is approved.

Votes against:

1. Alberto 22	0,3882%	9. Guillermo 12	0,3052%	17. Picasso 02	0,5245%
2. Alberto 39	0,2924%	10. Jorge 14	0,2648%	18. Picasso 44	0,3263%
3. Dali 07	0,3666%	11. Jorge 18	0,1371%	19. Picasso 46	0,1038%
4. Elena 3/7	0,7691%	12. Jorge 53	0,3465%	20. Teodoro 04	0,3726%
5. Elena 8	0,3686%	13. Marta 19	0,3481%	21. Teodoro 07	0,1133%
6. Eva 11/Pa.20	0,2623%	14. Miguel 17	0,2203%	22. Teodoro 09	0,1387%
7. Guillermo 08	0,1133%	15. Patricia 13/15	0,4653%	23. Teodoro 10	0,3157%
8. Guillermo 10	0,1533%	16. Patricia 25	0,2667%		

Abstentions:

1. Alberto 01	0,4445%	15. Jorge 39	0,3826%	29. Patricia 45/Te.8	0,9142%
2. Alberto 17	0,1939%	16. Jorge 45	0,3482%	30. Picasso 16	0,1667%
3. Alberto 26	0,3649%	17. Marta 07	0,3684%	31. Picasso 20	0,1939%
4. Alberto 29/33	0,4644%	18. Miguel 02	0,2893%	32. Picasso 24/26	0,2144%
5. Alberto 43	0,4644%	19. Miguel 04	0,1487%	33. Picasso 30	0,1939%
6. Dali 27	0,3681%	20. Miguel 08	0,2893%	34. Picasso 32	0,3004%
7. Elena 09	0,3250%	21. Miguel 18	0,3370%	35. Picasso 34	0,1553%
8. Elena 39	0,3402%	22. Patricia 02	0,2447%	36. Picasso 36	0,0958%
9. Eva 1/5	0,3097%	23. Patricia 10	0,1669%	37. Teodoro 03	0,1387%
10. Eva 02	0,4390%	24. Patricia 12	0,2142%	38. Teodoro 12	0,3157%
11. Eva 15	0,1359%	25. Patricia 22	0,1592%	39. Teodoro 30/32	0,7622%
12. Eva 17	0,2428%	26. Patricia 39	0,4111%	40. WIVC	1,2305%
13. Isabel 04	0,4404%	27. Patricia 41	0,2631%	41. MacDonald Res.	4,9039%
14. Jorge 13/15	0,3811%	28. Patricia 43	0,4398%		

RESOLUTION F: Increase of Security Guard Coverage (Proposed by Silvia Kessels)

That there be a day guard during the week from 7am to 7pm costing the community an extra 56,262.60 Euros (if we stay with the present company) or 53,806.44 Euros if we change to a less expensive company (Cofer)

The president reminded owners that some of the proposals have a financial implication and therefore would increase the budget and the community fees. In the case of the extra security guard coverage the financial implication is circa 56,000 Euros.

The voting took place and there were 63 votes in favour (including postal votes) for increasing security guard coverage, 90 votes against and 20 abstentions. The motion was therefore defeated.

Votes against:

1. Alberto 15	0,2897%	6. Alberto 26	0,3649%	11. Dali 07	0,3666%
2. Alberto 16	0,3404%	7. Alberto 36	0,3395%	12. Dali 09	0,3200%
3. Alberto 17	0,1939%	8. Alberto 38	0,3605%	13. Dali 17	0,5086%
4. Alberto 23/27	0,3011%	9. Alberto 39	0,2924%	14. Dali 23	0,3912%
5. Alberto 24/28	0,7531%	10. Dali 03	0,3900%	15. Dali 25	0,3681%



16. Dali 33	0,3363%	41. Isabel 31	0,2179%	66. Patricia 12	0,2142%
17. Elena 01	0,3966%	42. Jorge 6/8	0,2267%	67. Patricia 22	0,1592%
18. Elena 22	0,3681%	43. Jorge 9/11	0,3811%	68. Patricia 23	0,2667%
19. Elena 09	0,3250%	44. Jorge 13/15	0,3811%	69. Patricia 25	0,2667%
20. Elena 12	0,3213%	45. Jorge 16	0,2005%	70. Patricia 41	0,2631%
21. Elena 30	0,3363%	46. Jorge 31	0,3031%	71. Picasso 02	0,5245%
22. Elena 31	0,3649%	47. Jorge 51	0,3464%	72. Picasso 06	0,3185%
23. Elena 32	0,3363%	48. Jorge 53	0,3465%	73. Picasso 22	0,1788%
24. Elena 33	0,3235%	49. Jorge 57	0,3625%	74. Picasso 24/26	0,2144%
25. Elena 34/36	0,5542%	50. Jorge 61/Te.10	0,7585%	75. Picasso 40	0,2315%
26. Elena 35	0,3235%	51. Marta 05	0,3684%	76. Picasso 44	0,3263%
27. Elena 39	0,3402%	52. Marta 09	0,3125%	77. Picasso 46	0,1038%
28. Eva 02	0,4390%	53. Marta 13	0,4520%	78. Picasso 48	0,1324%
29. Eva 03	0,1692%	54. Miguel 04	0,1487%	79. Picasso 50	0,2282%
30. Eva 04	0,4390%	55. Miguel 11	0,3246%	80. Silvia 05	0,3612%
31. Eva 7/9	0,4910%	56. Miguel 13	0,4246%	81. Silvia 08	0,3684%
32. Eva 13	0,2554%	57. Miguel 14	0,3814%	82. Silvia 11	0,3158%
33. Eva 18	0,3414%	58. Miguel 15	0,3407%	83. Silvia 12	0,3256%
34. Eva 23	0,4426%	59. Miguel 16	0,3814%	84. Silvia 20	0,3503%
35. Guillermo 08	0,1133%	60. Miguel 17	0,2203%	85. Silvia 32	0,3672%
36. Isabel 01	0,2894%	61. Miguel 19	0,3618%	86. Teodoro 03	0,1387%
37. Isabel 03	0,1487%	62. Miguel 20/24	0,6913%	87. Teodoro 07	0,1133%
38. Isabel 05	0,1487%	63. Patricia 02	0,2447%	88. Teodoro 12	0,3157%
39. Isabel 09	0,4024%	64. Patricia 04	0,2436%	89. Teodoro 34	0,3893%
40. Isabel 19	0,3370%	65. Patricia 10	0,1669%	90. Macdonald Res.	4,9039%

Abstentions:

1. Alberto 08	0,3725%	8. Casitas Villacana	0,9101%	15. Miguel 28	0,2257%
2. Alberto 12	0,3250%	9. Jorge 19/21/23	0,4665%	16. Picasso 20	0,1939%
3. Dali 37	0,2180%	10. Jorge 27	0,3735%	17. Picasso 36	0,09585
4. Eva 01/05	0,3097%	11. Jorge 28/30/32	0,4419%	18. Silvia 04	0,3917%
5. Eva 06	0,3896%	12. Jorge 39	0,3826%	19. Silvia 07	0,3612%
6. Eva 17	0,2428%	13. Jorge 45	0,3482%	20. WIVC	1,2305%
7. Isabel 07	0,2893%	14. Marta 07	0,3684%		

RESOLUTION G: Fencing along Calle Picasso and gates on Calle Picasso, Juan Gris and on the terrace and stairs by the commercial premises (Proposed by Mariola de Burgos Moreno)

That for security reasons the community be enclosed with fencing installed along Calle Picasso, with three access gates along it and three on Juan Gris. In addition, two gates on the access stairs from the restaurants to the main swimming pool. The cost would be (VAT not included) 22,566 Euros for the fence and gates plus 8,799.20 Euros for the access control and 80.20 Euros monthly for the maintenance of the access controls.

That is a total of 37,951.89 Euros (VAT included) and 97.04 Euros monthly

After a very long debate the voting took place for the installation of fencing along Calle Picasso, there were 80 votes in favour representing 25.8213% of the total coefficient, 87 votes against representing 35.9217% of the total coefficient and 15 abstentions representing 6.6722% of the total coefficient. The motion was therefore defeated.

Votes in favour:

1. Alberto 01	0,4445%	29. Isabel 04	0,4404%	57. Patricia 13/15	0,4653%
2. Alberto 12	0,3250%	30. Isabel 05	0,1487%	58. Patricia 22	0,1592%
3. Alberto 15	0,2897%	31. Isabel 07	0,2893%	59. Patricia 23	0,2667%
4. Alberto 21	0,1072%	32. Isabel 11	0,4024%	60. Patricia 25	0,2667%
5. Alberto 26	0,3649%	33. Isabel 25	0,3603%	61. Patricia 37	0,5542%
6. Alberto 38	0,3605%	34. Jorge 9/11	0,3811%	62. Patricia 41	0,2631%
7. Alberto 39	0,2924%	35. Jo. 10/12, Te.11	0,5517%	63. Patricia 43	0,4398%
8. Dali 17	0,5086%	36. Jorge 14	0,2648%	64. Picasso 02	0,5245%
9. Dali 23	0,3912%	37. Jorge 16	0,2005%	65. Picasso 06	0,3185%
10. Dali 37	0,2180%	38. Jorge 18	0,1371%	66. Picasso 20	0,1939%
11. Elena 09	0,3250%	39. Jorge 20/24	0,3818%	67. Picasso 24/26	0,2144%
12. Elena 11	0,3250%	40. Jorge 25	0,5092%	68. Picasso 30	0,1939%
13. Elena 15	0,3404%	41. Jorge 35	0,6341%	69. Picasso 44	0,3263%
14. Elena 18	0,3912%	42. Jorge 45	0,3482%	70. Picasso 46	0,1038%
15. Elena 24	0,3681%	43. Jorge 53	0,3465%	71. Picasso 50	0,2282%
16. Elena 32	0,3363%	44. Jorge 55	0,3625%	72. Silvia 08	0,3684%
17. Elena 33	0,3235%	45. Jorge 61 /Te.10	0,7585%	73. Silvia 11	0,3158%
18. Elena 34/36	0,5542%	46. Marta 01	0,3917%	74. Silvia 20	0,3503%
19. Elena 41	0,3649%	47. Marta 03	0,3917%	75. Silvia 26	0,3467%
20. Eva 03	0,1592%	48. Marta 05	0,3684%	76. Silvia 28	0,3556%
21. Eva 04	0,4390%	49. Miguel 02	0,2893%	77. Teodoro 06	0,3600%
22. Eva 06	0,3896%	50. Miguel 04	0,1487%	78. Teodoro 07	0,1133%
23. Eva 14	0,3246%	51. Miguel 08	0,2893%	79. Teodoro 09	0,1387%
24. Eva 23	0,4426%	52. Miguel 15	0,3407%	80. Teodoro 34	0,3893%
25. Guillermo 08	0,1133%	53. Miguel 28	0,2257%		
26. Guillermo 12	0,3052%	54. Patricia 04	0,2436%		
27. Isabel 01	0,2894%	55. Patricia 10	0,1669%		
28. Isabel 03	0,1487%	56. Patricia 12	0,2142%		

Votes against:

1. Alberto 04	0,3959%	22. Elena 05	0,3725%	43. Jorge 03	0,1592%
2. Alberto 08	0,3725%	23. Elena 06	0,3686%	44. Jorge 5/7	0,2075%
3. Alberto 13	0,1500%	24. Elena 12	0,3213%	45. Jorge 6/8	0,2267%
4. Alberto 16	0,3404%	25. Elena 25	0,3882%	46. Jorge 13/15	0,3811%
5. Alberto 17	0,1939%	26. Elena 30	0,3363%	47. Jorge 19/21/23	0,4665%
6. Alberto 22	0,3882%	27. Elena 31	0,3649%	48. Jorge 27	0,3735%
7. Alberto 23/27	0,3011%	28. Elena 35	0,32355	49. Jorge 28/30/32	0,4419%
8. Alberto 24/28	0,7531%	29. Eva 1/5	0,3097%	50. Jorge 39	0,3826%
9. Alberto 29/33	0,4644%	30. Eva 02	0,4390%	51. Jorge 59	0,5696%
10. Alberto 30	0,32355	31. Eva 7/9	0,4910%	52. Jorge 63/65	0,7044%
11. Alberto 36	0,3395%	32. Eva 11/Pa.20	0,2623%	53. Jorge 67	0,5099%
12. Alberto 43	0,4644%	33. Eva 13	0,2554%	54. Marta 09	0,3125%
13. Dali 03	0,3900%	34. Eva 15	0,1359%	55. Marta 13	0,3125%
14. Dali 07	0,3666%	35. Eva 17	0,2428%	56. Marta 19	0,3481%
15. Dali 09	0,3200%	36. Eva 18	0,3414%	57. Miguel 11	0,3246%
16. Dali 21	0,3912%	37. Guillermo 10	0,1533%	58. Miguel 13	0,4246%
17. Dali 25	0,3681%	38. Isabel 09	0,4024%	59. Miguel 14	0,3814%
18. Dali 27	0,3681%	39. Isabel 15	0,3814%	60. Miguel 16	0,3814%
19. Dali 33	0,3363%	40. Isabel 19	0,3370%	61. Miguel 17	0,2203%
20. Elena 01	0,3966%	41. Isabel 31	0,2179%	62. Miguel 18	0,3370%
21. Elena 22	0,3681%	42. Jorge 01/02/17	0,5310%	63. Miguel 19	0,3618%



64. Miguel 20	0,6913%	72. Picasso 34	0,1553%	80. Silvia 32	0,3672%
65. Miguel 26	0,3603%	73. Picasso 40	0,2315%	81. Silvia 38	0,3898%
66. Patricia 02	0,2447%	74. Picasso 48	0,1324%	82. Silvia 40	0,4371%
67. Patricia 39	0,4111%	75. Silvia 03	0,3726%	83. Teodoro 03	0,1387%
68. Patricia 45/TE.8	0,9142%	76. Silvia 05	0,3612%	84. Teodoro 04	0,3726%
69. Picasso 16	0,1667%	77. Silvia 12	0,3256%	85. Teodoro 12	0,3157%
70. Picasso 22	0,1788%	78. Silvia 14	0,4513%	86. Teodoro 30	0,7622%
71. Picasso 32	0,3004%	79. Silvia 16	0,3423%	87. MacDonald Res.	4,9039%

Abstentions:

1. Elena 20	0,3912%	9. Marta 11	0,3125%
2. Elena 39	0,3402%	10. Marta 15	0,3423%
3. Eva 20	0,3414%	11. Picasso 36	0,0958%
4. Casitas Villacana	0,9101%	12. Silvia 07	0,3612%
5. Jorge 31	0,30315	13. Teodoro 24	0,5223%
6. Jorge 51	0,3464%	14. Teodoro 36	0,4443%
7. Jorge 57	0,3625%	15. W.I.V.C.	1,2305%
8. Marta 07	0,3684%		

RESOLUTION H: Increase of Reception Opening times (Proposed by Cristina Guimarey)

That Reception be open from 9am to 2pm and 3pm to 6pm Mondays to Fridays all year round.

(As an extra Receptionist would have to be hired to cover these opening hours it would cost approximately 25,000 Euros a year).

Cristina Guimarey asked why her proposal had been changed, as the one she had presented did not mention an amount of money. What she proposed is that the receptionist, who currently only works 30 hours a week, should be working a 40 hour a week as per his contract.

Carol Holmes explained that, although during the low season Antonio Parra does not work the forty hours, in the calculation of the whole year he works the same hours as all the employees, he does not take the month's holiday that the other employees have, in order to meet the annual hours. Therefore, in order to increase the opening hours of the reception so that the receptionist can work the forty hours during the low season, another person must be hired to cover the holidays, the days for personal matters and the sick leave.

John McNulty confirmed that Cristina Guimarey did not include a cost but her proposal has a financial implication and the consequences have been calculated by Carol Holmes and we have a duty to report that to owners. It would be wrong to withhold that information.

The voting took place and there were 33 votes in favour (including postal votes) for increasing the reception hours at a cost to be confirmed, 113 votes against and 19 abstentions. The motion was therefore defeated.

Votes against:

1. Alberto 01	0,4445%	11. Alberto 24/28	0,7531%	21. Dali 21	0,3912%
2. Alberto 04	0,3959%	12. Alberto 26	0,3649%	22. Dali 23	0,3912%
3. Alberto 08	0,37255	13. Alberto 29/33/31	0,4644%	23. Dali 25	0,3681%
4. Alberto 12	0,3250%	14. Alberto 36	0,3395%	24. Dali 27	0,3681%
5. Alberto 15	0,2897%	15. Alberto 38	0,3605%	25. Dali 33	0,3363%
6. Alberto 16	0,3404%	16. Alberto 43	0,4644%	26. Elena 01	0,39665
7. Alberto 17	0,1939%	17. Dali 03	0,3900%	27. Elena 22	0,3681%
8. Alberto 21	0,10725	18. Dali 07	0,3666%	28. Elena 05	0,3725%
9. Alberto 22	0,38825	19. Dali 09	0,3200%	29. Elena 11	0,3250%
10. Alberto 23/27	0,3011%	20. Dali 17	0,5086%	30. Elena 12	0,3213%

31. Elena 20	0,3912%	59. Jorge 14	0,2648%	87. Patricia 02	0,2447%
32. Elena 24	0,3681%	60. Jorge 16	0,2005%	88. Patricia 04	0,2436%
33. Elena 25	0,3882%	61. Jorge 18	0,1371%	89. Patricia 22	0,1592%
34. Elena 30	0,3663%	62. Jorge 19/21/23	0,4665%	90. Patricia 23	0,2667%
35. Elena 31	0,3649%	63. Jorge 20/24	0,3818%	91. Patricia 37	0,5542%
36. Elena 32	0,3363%	64. Jorge 25	0,5092%	92. Patricia 39	0,4111%
37. Elena 34/36	0,5542%	65. Jorge 27	0,3735%	93. Patricia 45,Te.8	0,9142%
38. Elena 35	0,3235%	66. Jorge 38/30/32	0,4419%	94. Picasso 06	0,3185%
39. Elena 39	0,3402%	67. Jorge 31	0,3031%	95. Picasso 22	0,1788%
40. Eva 02	0,4390%	68. Jorge 55	0,3625%	96. Picasso 32	0,3004%
41. Eva 03	0,1592%	69. Jorge 57	0,3625%	97. Picasso 34	0,1553%
42. Eva 04	0,4390%	70. Jorge 63/65	0,7044%	98. Picasso 48	0,1324%
43. Eva 07/09	0,4910%	71. Jorge 67	0,5099%	99. Picasso 50	0,2282%
44. Eva 13	0,2554%	72. Marta 01	0,3917%	100.Silvia 03	0,3726%
45. Eva 15	0,1359%	73. Marta 03	0,3917%	101.Silvia 07	0,3612%
46. Eva 17	0,2428%	74. Marta 05	0,3684%	102.Silvia 08	0,3684%
47. Eva 18	0,3414%	75. Marta 09	0,3125%	103.Silvia 11	0,3158%
48. Eva 20	0,3414%	76. Miguel 08	0,2893%	104.Silvia 12	0,3256%
49. Isabel 03	0,1487%	77. Miguel 11	0,3246%	105.Silvia 20	0,3503%
50. Isabel 09	0,40245	78. Miguel 13	0,42465	106.Silvia 26	0,3467%
51. Isabel 15	0,3814%	79. Miguel 14	0,3814%	107.Teodoro 03	0,1387%
52. Isabel 19	0,3370%	80. Miguel 15	0,3407%	108.Teodoro 06	0,3600%
53. Isabel 25	0,3603%	81. Miguel 16	0,3814%	109.Teodoro 12	0,3157%
54. Isabel 31	0,2179%	82. Miguel 17	0,2203%	110.Teodoro 30/32	0,7622%
55. Jorge 6/8	0,2267%	83. Miguel 18	0,3370%	111.Teodoro 34	0,3893%
56. Jorge 9/11	0,3811%	84. Miguel 19	0,3618%	112.WIVC	1,2305%
57. Jorge 13/15	0,3811%	85. Miguel 20/24	0,6913%	113.MacDonald Res.	4,903%
58. Casitas Villacana	0,9101%	86. Miguel 26	0,3603%		

Abstentions:

1. Dali 37	0,2180%	11. Marta 19	0,3481%
2. Elena 06	0,3686%	12. Miguel 04	0,1487%
3. Elena 41	0,3649%	13. Miguel 28	0,2257%
4. Eva 06	0,3896%	14. Patricia 12	0,2142%
5. Isabel 07	0,2893%	15. Patricia 41	0,2631%
6. Jorge 35	0,6341%	16. Picasso 16	0,1667%
7. Jorge 39	0,3826%	17. Picasso 36	0,0958%
8. Jorge 45	0,3482%	18. Teodoro 24	0,5223%
9. Marta 07	0,3684%	19. Teodoro 36	0,4443%
10. Marta 15	0,3423%		

RESOLUTION I: Photovoltaic panels for generating electricity

That photovoltaic panels be installed on the roof of the administration building to generate electricity for the common areas at a cost of 32,783.81€ to be paid in one go or over five years.

The president explained that the reason this has come to this AGM is that last year a proposal was presented and it was agreed it would be taken away and further work would be done on it because there were quite a few questions. We reported back last September on those findings with a view to bring it back to this AGM as agreed at the 2023 AGM.

He explained that the decision to be made at this AGM is to decide whether to go ahead with this based on the information available. If the vote is in favour of proceeding, we will do further research very quickly to see if we can reduce those costs, investigating suggestions made by some owners, and then get on with it.



The president explained that there are two decisions to be made in this proposal, the first one is do owners want to go ahead with the installation of the photovoltaic panels or not and, if the vote is to proceed, the second decision is whether owners would like to pay for it all up front or finance it over five years.

The president explained that in his initial presentation he pointed out that the market is changing, both in the supply market for panels, with prices adjusting, and in the energy market with prices coming down.

The president went on to say that we have a simple choice, we either spend another twelve months working out what might be better and then coming back with our findings next year at the AGM or we vote at this AGM with the information at hand and with the knowledge that we may be able to improve on it. Some owners have made suggestions about how we might be able to do this.

The voting took place and there were 102 votes in favour (including postal votes) of the installation of photovoltaic panels, 51 votes against and 17 abstentions. The motion was therefore approved.

Votes against:

1. Alberto 16	0,3404%	18. Jorge 5/7	0,2075%	35. Patricia 25	0,2667%
2. Alberto 21	0,1072%	19. Jorge 6/8	0,2267%	36. Patricia 41	0,2631%
3. Alberto 38	0,3605%	20. Jorge 14	0,2648%	37. Picasso 02	0,5245%
4. Alberto 39	0,2924%	21. Jorge 18	0,1371%	38. Picasso 20	0,1939%
5. Alberto 43	0,4644%	22. Jorge 31	0,3031%	39. Picasso 30	0,1939%
6. Dali 03	0,3900%	23. Jorge 53	0,3465%	40. Picasso 44	0,3263%
7. Dali 07	0,36665	24. Jorge 61/Te.10	0,7585%	41. Picasso 46	0,1038%
8. Elena 05	0,3725%	25. Marta 05	0,3684%	42. Silvia 03	0,3726%
9. Elena 09	0,3250%	26. Marta 09	0,3125%	43. Silvia 05	0,3612%
10. Elena 32	0,3363%	27. Marta 11	0,3125%	44. Silvia 11	0,3158%
11. Elena 33	0,3235%	28. Marta 19	0,3481%	45. Silvia 26	0,34675
12. Elena 39	0,3402%	29. Miguel 04	0,1487%	46. Silvia 28	0,3556%
13. Eva 02	0,4390%	30. Miguel 14	0,3814%	47. Teodoro 03	0,13875
14. Guillermo 08	0,1133%	31. Miguel 19	0,3618%	48. Teodoro 07	0,1133%
15. Guillermo 12	0,3052%	32. Patricia 04	0,2436%	49. Teodoro 09	0,1387%
16. Isabel 03	0,1487%	33. Patricia 10	0,1669%	50. Teodoro 12	0,3157%
17. Isabel 05	0,1487%	34. Patricia 12	0,2142%	51. MacDonald Res.	4,9039%

Abstentions:

1. Alberto 01	0,4445%	10. Jorge 45	0,3482%
2. Dali 09	0,3200%	11. Jorge 57	0,3625%
3. Dali 37	0,2180%	12. Marta 07	0,3684%
4. Elena 31	0,3649%	13. Miguel 15	0,3407%
5. Eva 06	0,3896%	14. Patricia 22	0,1592%
6. Isabel 07	0,2893%	15. Picasso 36	0,0958%
7. Isabel 19	0,3370%	16. Silvia 07	0,3612%
8. Casitas Villacana	0,9101%	17. WIVC	1,2305%
9. Jorge 19/21/23	0,4665%		

The voting took place regarding the paying for this project. 66 votes were in favour of paying for it in one go and 68 in favour of financing over five years. Therefore, the payment for the photovoltaic installation will be financed over five years.

Pay in one go:

1. Alberto 01	0,4445%	3. Alberto 08	0,3725%	5. Alberto 22	0,3882%
2. Alberto 04	0,3959%	4. Alberto 17	0,1939%	6. Alberto 23/27	0,3011%



7. Alberto 24/28	0,7531%	27. Eva 23	0,4426%	47. Miguel 13	0,4246%
8. Alberto 26	0,3649%	28. Isabel 09	0,4024%	48. Miguel 17	0,2203%
9. Alberto 36	0,3395%	29. Jorge 01/02/17	0,5310%	49. Miguel 20	0,6913%
10. Dali 07	0,3666%	30. Jorge 03	0,1529%	50. Miguel 26	0,3603%
11. Dali 17	0,5086%	31. Jorge 5/7	0,2075%	51. Miguel 28	0,2257%
12. Dali 23	0,3912%	32. Jorge 06/08	0,2667%	52. Patricia 02	0,2447%
13. Dali 25	0,3681%	33. Jo. 10/12,Te.11	0,5517%	53. Patricia 23	0,2667%
14. Dali 27	0,3681%	34. Jorge 16	0,2005%	54. Patricia 37	0,5542%
15. Dali 33	0,3363%	35. Jorge 19/21/23	0,4665%	55. Patricia 39	0,4111%
16. Elena 01	0,3966%	36. Jorge 25	0,5092%	56. Patricia 45,Te.8	0,9142%
17. Elena 11	0,3250%	37. Jorge 27	0,3735%	57. Picasso 16	0,1667%
18. Elena 12	0,3213%	38. Jorge 28/30/32	0,4419%	58. Picasso 22	0,1788%
19. Elena 25	0,3882%	39. Jorge 35	0,6341%	59. Picasso 30	0,1939%
20. Elena 34/36	0,5542%	40. Jorge 39	0,3826%	60. Picasso 32	0,3004%
21. Elena 35	0,3235%	41. Jorge 51	0,3464%	61. Silvia 08	0,3684%
22. Elena 41	0,3649%	42. Jorge 55	0,3625%	62. Silvia 12	0,3256%
23. Eva 03	0,1592%	43. Jorge 59	0,5696%	63. Silvia 14	0,4513%
24. Eva 7/9	0,4910%	44. Marta 01	0,3917%	64. Silvia 16	0,3423%
25. Eva 14	0,32465	45. Marta 03	0,3917%	65. Teodoro 06	0,3600%
26. Eva 18	0,3414%	46. Marta 15	0,3423%	66. Teodoro 34	0,3893%

Financing over 5 years

1. Alberto 12	0,3250%	24. Guillermo 08	0,1133%	47. Miguel 14	0,3814%
2. Alberto 15	0,2897%	25. Guillermo 10	0,1533%	48. Miguel 16	0,3814%
3. Alberto 16	0,3404%	26. Guillermo 12	0,3052%	49. Patricia 13/15	0,4653%
4. Alberto 29/33	0,4644%	27. Isabel 01	0,2894%	50. Patricia 22	0,1592%
5. Alberto 39	0,2924%	28. Isabel 03	0,1487%	51. Patricia 25	0,2667%
6. Dali 03	0,3900%	29. Isabel 05	0,1487%	52. Picasso 02	0,5245%
7. Dali 09	0,3200%	30. Isabel 07	0,2893%	53. Picasso 06	0,3185%
8. Elena 22	0,3681%	31. Isabel 15	0,3814%	54. Picasso 20	0,1939%
9. Elena 06	0,3686%	32. Isabel 19	0,3370%	55. Picasso 40	0,2315%
10. Elena 09	0,3250%	33. Isabel 25	0,3603%	56. Picasso 44	0,3263%
11. Elena 15	0,3404%	34. Isabel 31	0,2179%	57. Picasso 46	0,1038%
12. Elena 18, Is.11	0,7936%	35. Jorge 9/11	0,3811%	58. Picasso 48	0,1324%
13. Elena 20	0,3912%	36. Jorge 13/15	0,3811%	59. Picasso 50	0,2282%
14. Elena 24	0,36815	37. Jorge 14	0,2648%	60. Silvia 04	0,3917%
15. Elena 30	0,3363%	38. Jorge 18	0,1371%	61. Silvia 20	0,3503%
16. Elena 32	0,3633%	39. Jorge 20/24	0,3818%	62. Silvia 28	0,3556%
17. Elena 33	0,3235%	40. Jorge 53	0,3465%	63. Teodoro 04	0,3726%
18. Eva 04	0,4390%	41. Jorge 57	0,3625%	64. Teodoro 07	0,1133%
19. Eva 11, Pa.20	0,2623%	42. Jorge 61, Te.10	0,7585%	65. Teodoro 09	0,1387%
20. Eva 13	0,2554%	43. Jorge 63/65	0,7044%	66. Teodoro 24	0,5223%
21. Eva 15	0,1359%	44. Marta 11	0,3125%	67. Teodoro 30/32	0,7622%
22. Eva 17	0,2428%	45. Miguel 08	0,2893%	68. Teodoro 36	0,4443%
23. Eva 20	0,3414%	46. Miguel 11	0,3246%		

Isabel

RESOLUTION J: Enrique's Moreno's Rental Contract

That Enrique Moreno's contract be renewed from the 1st May 2024 to the 30th April 2027. with the possibility of extending it for another two years. with annual Cost of Living Indexation as set out below. to maintain the current value of the rental income for the Community:

- Current rent (2023-24): 1.500 Euros + VAT per month
- New Contract rents:
 1. Yr. 1: May 24 – Apr 25: Rent increased by 3.4% (Cost of Living Index January 2024) from 1.500 Euros + VAT per month to 1.551 Euros + VAT per month
 2. Yr. 2: May 25 – Apr 26: Rent increased by Cost of Living Index as set in January 2025
 3. Y2. 3: May 26 – Apr 27: Rent increased by Cost of Living Index as set in January 2026
- If the contract is extended for a further 2 years. the monthly rent to be increased each year in the same manner.
- Rent to be reviewed at the time of subsequent contract renewal.

The voting took place and there were 142 votes in favour (including postal votes) of renewing Enrique Moreno's rental contract with the appropriate increases. 5 votes against and 14 abstentions. The motion was therefore approved.

Votes against

1. Alberto 23/27	0,3011%	3. Isabel 07	0,2893%	5. Silvia 03	0,3726%
2. Elena 18, Is.11	0,7936%	4. Miguel 28	0,2257%		

Abstentions:

1. Alberto 16	0,3404%	6. Jorge 59	0,5696%	11. Picasso 36	0,09585
2. Eva 03	0,1592%	7. Marta 07	0,3684%	12. Picasso 50	0,2282%
3. Eva 06	0,3896%	8. Miguel 15	0,3407%	13. Silvia 26	0,3467%
4. Jorge 27	0,3735%	9. Miguel 17	0,2203%	14. WIVC	1,2305%
5. Jorge 45	0,3482%	10. Patricia 22	0,1592%		

RESOLUTION K: Rent increase to commercial premises (Proposed by Rafael Muñoz)

That rents be increased for the commercial premises (ending or renewing contract in 2024).

The president said that this proposal follows on automatically from the previous one. That we do likewise with the other rental contracts and when they come up for renewal this year or subsequent years.

The voting took place and there were 112 votes in favour (including postal votes) of increasing the rents of the commercial premises when their contracts come up for renewal. 31 votes against and 29 abstentions. The motion was therefore approved.

Votes Against

1. Alberto 15	0,2897%	12. Jorge 03	0,1592%	23. Picasso 40	0,2315%
2. Alberto 24/28	0,7531%	13. Jo. 10/12, Te.11	0,5517%	24. Silvia 08	0,3684%
3. Alberto 29/33	0,4644%	14. Jorge 35	0,6341%	25. Silvia 14	0,4513%
4. Alberto 30	0,3235%	15. Jorge 51	0,3464%	26. Silvia 20	0,3503%
5. Alberto 36	0,3395%	16. Marta 19	0,3481%	27. Silvia 38	0,3898%
6. Alberto 43	0,4644%	17. Miguel 08	0,2893%	28. Teodoro 03	0,1387%
7. Dali 21	0,3912%	18. Miguel 11	0,3246%	29. Teodoro 06	0,3600%
8. Elena 32	0,3363%	19. Miguel 18	0,3370%	30. Teodoro 12	0,3157%
9. Eva 18	0,3414%	20. Patricia 45, Te.8	0,9142%	31. Teodoro 30/32	0,7622%
10. Isabel 09	0,4024%	21. Picasso 16	0,1667%		
11. Isabel 15	0,3814%	22. Picasso 34	0,1553%		

Abstentions

1. Alberto 08	0,3725%	11. Jorge 01/02/17	0,5310%	21. Marta 09	0,3125%
2. Alberto 21	0,1072%	12. Jorge 06/08	0,2267%	22. Patricia 37	0,5542%
3. Alberto 26	0,3649%	13. Jorge 13/15	0,3811%	23. Patricia 43	0,4398%
4. Dali 03	0,3900%	14. Casitas Villacana	0,9101%	24. Picasso 36	0,0958%
5. Dali 23	0,3912%	15. Jorge 16	0,2005%	25. Picasso 50	0,2282%
6. Elena 30	0,3363%	16. Jorge 28/30/32	0,4419%	26. Silvia 07	0,3612%
7. Elena 41	0,3649%	17. Jorge 39	0,3826%	27. Silvia 12	0,3256%
8. Eva 06	0,3896%	18. Jorge 45	0,3482%	28. WIVC	1,2305%
9. Eva 07/09	0,4910%	19. Marta 01	0,3917%	29. MacDonald Res.	4,9039%
10. Isabel 04	0,4404%	20. Marta 07	0,3684%		

RESOLUTION L: Access control for the gates to small pools (Proposed by Cristina Guimarey)

That all gates to small pools to be kept closed at all times and install electronic access to each gate with a fob to open them.

The cost of the installation of the access controls on all 12 gates will cost approximately 2.400 Euros.

The voting took place and there were 35 votes in favour (including postal votes) of installing access controls on all the gates to the small pools. 126 votes against and 12 abstentions. The motion was therefore defeated.

Votes against

1. Alberto 04	0,3959%	33. Elena 25	0,3882%	65. Jorge 16	0,2005%
2. Alberto 08	0,3725%	34. Elena 30	0,3363%	66. Jorge 18	0,1371%
3. Alberto 15	0,2897%	35. Elena 31	0,3649%	67. Jorge 19/21/23	0,4665%
4. Alberto 17	0,1939%	36. Elena 32	0,3363%	68. Jorge 20/24	0,3818%
5. Alberto 21	0,1072%	37. Elena 41	0,3649%	69. Jorge 25	0,5092%
6. Alberto 22	0,3882%	38. Eva 02	0,4390%	70. Jorge 27	0,3735%
7. Alberto 23/27	0,3011%	39. Eva 04	0,4390%	71. Jorge 51	0,3464%
8. Alberto 24/28	0,7531%	40. Eva 11, Pa.20	0,2623%	72. Jorge 57	0,3625%
9. Alberto 26	0,3649%	41. Eva 13	0,2554%	73. Jorge 59	0,5696%
10. Alberto 29/33	0,4644%	42. Eva 14	0,3246%	74. Jorge 63/65	0,7044%
11. Alberto 36	0,3395%	43. Eva 15	0,1359%	75. Jorge 67	0,5099%
12. Alberto 38	0,3605%	44. Eva 17	0,2428%	76. Marta 03	0,3917%
13. Alberto 43	0,4644%	45. Eva 18	0,3414%	77. Marta 05	0,3684%
14. Dali 03	0,3900%	46. Eva 20	0,3414%	78. Marta 09	0,3125%
15. Dali 07	0,3666%	47. Eva 23	0,4426%	79. Marta 11	0,3125%
16. Dali 09	0,3200%	48. Guillermo 08	0,1133%	80. Marta 19	0,3481%
17. Dali 17	0,5086%	49. Guillermo 10	0,1533%	81. Miguel 08	0,2893%
18. Dali 21	0,3912%	50. Isabel 01	0,2894%	82. Miguel 11	0,3246%
19. Dali 23	0,3912%	51. Isabel 04	0,4404%	83. Miguel 13	0,4246%
20. Dali 27	0,3681%	52. Isabel 07	0,2893%	84. Miguel 14	0,3814%
21. Dali 33	0,3363%	53. Isabel 09	0,4024%	85. Miguel 15	0,3407%
22. Dali 37	0,2180%	54. Isabel 15	0,3814%	86. Miguel 16	0,3814%
23. Elena 01	0,3966%	55. Isabel 25	0,3603%	87. Miguel 18	0,3370%
24. Elena 22	0,3681%	56. Isabel 31	0,2179%	88. Miguel 19	0,3618%
25. Elena 05	0,3725%	57. Jorge 1/2/17	0,5310%	89. Miguel 20/24	0,6913%
26. Elena 06	0,3686%	58. Jorge 03	0,1592%	90. Miguel 26	0,3603%
27. Elena 11	0,3250%	59. Jorge 05/07	0,2075%	91. Miguel 28	0,2257%
28. Elena 12	0,3213%	60. Jorge 6/8	0,2267%	92. Patricia 02	0,2447%
29. Elena 15	0,3404%	61. Jorge 9/11	0,3811%	93. Patricia 04	0,2436%
30. Elena 18, Is.11	0,7936%	62. Jo. 10/12, Te.11	0,5517%	94. Patricia 13/15	0,4653%
31. Elena 20	0,3912%	63. Jorge 13/15	0,3811%	95. Patricia 23	0,2667%
32. Elena 24	0,3681%	64. Casitas Villacana	0,9101%	96. Patricia 25	0,2667%

97. Patricia 39	0,4111%	107.Silvia 04	0,3917%	117.Silvia 38	0,3898%
98. Patricia 43	0,4398%	108.Silvia 05	0,3612%	118.Teodoro 03	0,1387%
99. Patricia 45, Te.8	0,9142%	109.Silvia 07	0,3612%	119.Teodoro 04	0,3726%
100.Picasso 06	0,3185%	110.Silvia 08	0,3684%	120.Teodoro 06	0,3600%
101.Picasso 22	0,1788%	111.Silvia 11	0,3158%	121.Teodoro 07	0,1133%
102.Picasso 30	0,1939%	112.Silvia 12	0,3256%	122.Teodoro 12	0,3157%
103.Picasso 32	0,3004%	113.Silvia 14	0,4513%	123.Teodoro 24	0,5223%
104.Picasso 36	0,0958%	114.Silvia 16	0,3423%	124.Teodoro 30/32	0,7622%
105.Picasso 48	0,1324%	115.Silvia 20	0,3503%	125.Teodoro 36	0,4443%
106.Picasso 50	0,2282%	116.Silvia 26	0,3467%	126.Macdonald Res.	4,9039%

Abstentions:

1. Elena 34/36	0,5542%	7. Miguel 04	0,1487%
2. Elena 39	0,3402%	8. Miguel 17	0,2203%
3. Eva 07/09	0,4910%	9. Patricia 12	0,2142%
4. Jorge 31	0,3031%	10. Patricia 41	0,2631%
5. Jorge 39	0,3826%	11. Teodoro 34	0,3893%
6. Marta 07	0,3684%	12. WIVC	1,2305%

RESOLUTION M: Salt water swimming pools (Proposed by Minia Corzo Pérez)

That the water of all pools be changes from chlorine to salt water. The approximate cost will be 2.000 Euros for each of the small pools and 1.250 Euros for the children's paddling pool

The voting took place and there were 39 votes in favour (including postal votes) of having salt water pools. 108 votes against and 29 abstentions. The motion was therefore defeated.

Votes against

1. Alberto 12	0.3250%	26. Elena 30	0.3363%	51. Jorge 9/11	0.3811%
2. Alberto 15	0.2897%	27. Elena 31	0.3649%	52. Jorge 14	0.2648%
3. Alberto 16	0.3404%	28. Elena 32	0.3363%	53. Casitas Villacana	0.9101%
4. Alberto 22	0.3882%	29. Elena 33	0.3235%	54. Jorge 19/21/23	0.4665%
5. Alberto 23/27	0.3011%	30. Elena 35	0.3235%	55. Jorge 20/24	0.3818%
6. Alberto 24/28	0.7531%	31. Eva 02	0.4390%	56. Jorge 25	0.5092%
7. Alberto 36	0.3395%	32. Eva 03	0.1592%	57. Jorge 27	0.3735%
8. Alberto 38	0.3605%	33. Eva 04	0.4390%	58. Jorge 31	0.3031%
9. Alberto 39	0.2924%	34. Eva 13	0.2554%	59. Jorge 35	0.6341%
10. Alberto 43	0.4644%	35. Eva 14	0.3246%	60. Jorge 51	0.3464%
11. Dali 03	0.3900%	36. Eva 15	0.1359%	61. Jorge 53	0.3465%
12. Dali 07	0.3666%	37. Eva 17	0.2428%	62. Jorge 55	0.3625%
13. Dali 09	0.3200%	38. Eva 18	0.3414%	63. Jorge 57	0.3625%
14. Dali 17	0.5086%	39. Eva 23	0.4426%	64. Jorge 61,Te.10	0.7585%
15. Dali 21	0.3912%	40. Guillermo 08	0.1133%	65. Jorge 67	0.5099%
16. Dali 23	0.3912%	41. Guillermo 12	0.3052%	66. Marta 05	0.3684%
17. Dali 25	0.3681%	42. Isabel 01	0.2894%	67. Marta 19	0.3481%
18. Dali 33	0.3363%	43. Isabel 04	0.4404%	68. Miguel 11	0.3246%
19. Elena 01	0.3966%	44. Isabel 05	0.1487%	69. Miguel 13	0.4246%
20. Elena 22	0.3681%	45. Isabel 07	0.2893%	70. Miguel 14	0.3814%
21. Elena 05	0.3725%	46. Isabel 09	0.4024%	71. Miguel 16	0.3814%
22. Elena 09	0.3250%	47. Isabel 15	0.3814%	72. Miguel 18	0.3370%
23. Elena 11	0.3250%	48. Isabel 19	0.3370%	73. Miguel 19	0.3618%
24. Elena 15	0.3404%	49. Isabel 25	0.3603%	74. Miguel 20/24	0.6913%
25. Elena 20	0.3912%	50. Isabel 31	0.2179%	75. Miguel 26	0.3603%

76. Patricia 02	0.2447%	87. Picasso 30	0.1939%	98. Silvia 12	0.3256%
77. Patricia 04	0.2436%	88. Picasso 32	0.3004%	99. Silvia 20	0.3503%
78. Patricia 23	0.2667%	89. Picasso 44	0.3263%	100. Silvia 28	0.3556%
79. Patricia 25	0.2667%	90. Picasso 46	0.1038%	101. Silvia 38	0.3898%
80. Patricia 43	0.4398%	91. Picasso 48	0.1324%	102. Teodoro 03	0.1387%
81. Patricia 45, Te.8	0.9142%	92. Picasso 50	0.2282%	103. Teodoro 06	0.3600%
82. Picasso 02	0.5245%	93. Silvia 03	0.3726%	104. Teodoro 07	0.1133%
83. Picasso 06	0.3185%	94. Silvia 05	0.3612%	105. Teodoro 09	0.1387%
84. Picasso 16	0.1667%	95. Silvia 07	0.3612%	106. Teodoro 12	0.3157%
85. Picasso 20	0.1939%	96. Silvia 08	0.3684%	107. Teodoro 30/32	0.7622%
86. Picasso 22	0.1788%	97. Silvia 11	0.3158%	108. Macdonald Res.	4.9039%

Abstentions:

1. Alberto 08	0.3725%	11. Jorge 16	0.2005%	21. Patricia 22	0.1592%
2. Alberto 29/33	0.4644%	12. Jorge 18	0.1371%	22. Patricia 39	0.4111%
3. Dali 27	0.3681%	13. Jorge 39	0.3826%	23. Patricia 41	0.2631%
4. Elena 06	0.3686%	14. Jorge 59	0.5696%	24. Picasso 24/26	0.2144%
5. Elena 25	0.3882%	15. Jorge 63/65	0.7044%	25. Picasso 36	0.0958%
6. Elena 34/36	0.5542%	16. Marta 07	0.3684%	26. Silvia 16	0.3423%
7. Elena 39	0.3402%	17. Marta 09	0.3125%	27. Teodoro 34	0.3893%
8. Eva 06	0.3896%	18. Miguel 04	0.1487%	28. Teodoro 36	0.4443%
9. Eva 07/09	0.4910%	19. Miguel 15	0.3407%	29. WIVC	1.2305%
10. Jorge 05/07	0.2075%	20. Patricia 12	0.2142%		

RESOLUTION N: Open Forum // Minimise You Said/ We did // APP (Proposed by Julie Nicholson. Neil Norman & M^a Esther de la Torre Millan respectively)

Julie Nicholson: That there be an Open Forum for observations and suggestions for improvement of the administration's version of Open Forum. The cost would be approximately £400 for the basic set up plus approximately £70 per year with a service charge of £50 pm.

Neil Norman: Minimise "you said / we did" requirement and avoid "gossip" element.

M^a Esther de la Torre: That an APP is installed for the community. The cost will be 3€ per owner per year.

Mrs. Nicholson pointed out that the paragraph in the Supplementary Agenda was not written by her. What she actually wrote was that the Open Forum should be discussed under Any Other Business for discussion as it was already approved at the 2023 AGM.

The president explained that at last year's AGM we voted on a you said / we did approach through a publication on the official website. It would be open to all owners with responses from the president and the administration and would be published monthly. That was what the people who did not attend the meeting last year voted on, using their postal votes. Then, at the meeting itself a debate opened up about Open Forums and other matters. We said that we would look at it, but we cannot modify a resolution that had been previously sent to owners and voted on via postal votes.



This proposal was put to vote:

There were 13 votes (including postal votes) in favour of the Open Forum representing 4.6209% of the total coefficient.

There were 77 votes (including postal votes) in favour of Minimising “you said / we did” requirement representing 34.5633% of the total coefficient.

There were 72 votes (including postal votes) in favour of the APP representing 22.7111% of the total coefficient.

There were five abstentions representing 1.8668% of the total coefficient.

Therefore, the resolution regarding “Minimising You Said/We Did Requirement” was approved.

Votes in favour of Open Forum:

1. Dali 07	0.3666%	6. Jorge 05/07	0.2075%	11. Marta 15	0.3423%
2. Elena 24	0.3681%	7. Jorge 28/30/32	0.4419%	12. Marta 19	0.3481%
3. Eva 20	0.3414%	8. Jorge 39	0.3826%	13. Silvia 14	0.4513%
4. Jorge 1/2/17	0.5310%	9. Marta 05	0.3684%		
5. Jorge 03	0.1592%	10. Marta 09	0.3125%		

Votes in favour of Minimising “You Said/We Did Requirement”

1. Alberto 01	0,4445%	27. Eva 14	0,3246%	53. Miguel 19	0,3618%
2. Alberto 04	0,3959%	28. Eva 15	0,1359%	54. Miguel20/24	0,6913%
3. Alberto 15	0,2897%	29. Eva 17	0,2428%	55. Patricia 02	0,2447%
4. Alberto 17	0,1939%	30. Eva 18	0,3414%	56. Patricia 04	0,2436%
5. Alberto 21	0,1072%	31. Isabel 03	0,1487%	57. Patricia 23	0,2667%
6. Alberto 22	0,3882%	32. Isabel 04	0,4404%	58. Patricia 37	0,5542%
7. Alberto 23/27	0,3011%	33. Isabel 09	0,4024%	59. Patricia 43	0,4398%
8. Alberto 24/28	0,7531%	34. Isabel 15	0,3814%	60. Picasso 06	0,3185%
9. Alberto 26	0,3649%	35. Isabel 19	0,3370%	61. Picasso 22	0,1788%
10. Alberto 36	0,3395%	36. Isabel 25	0,3603%	62. Picasso 32	0,3004%
11. Alberto 43	0,4644%	37. Jorge 6/8	0,22675	63. Picasso 40	0,2315%
12. Dali 03	0,3900%	38. Jorge 9/11	0,3811%	64. Silvia 04	0,3917%
13. Dali 09	0,3200%	39. Casitas Villacana	0,9101%	65. Silvia 05	0,3612%
14. Dali 17	0,5086%	40. Jorge 19/21/23	0,4665%	66. Silvia 07	0,3612%
15. Dali 23	0,3912%	41. Jorge 25	0,5092%	67. Silvia 08	0,3684%
16. Dali 33	0,3363%	42. Jorge57	0,3625%	68. Silvia 11	0,3158%
17. Elena 01	0,3966%	43. Jorge 59	0,5696%	69. Silvia 12	0,3256%
18. Elena 05	0,3725%	44. Marta 01	0,3917%	70. Silvia 20	0,3503%
19. Elena 12	0,3213%	45. Marta 07	0,3684%	71. Teodoro 03	0,1387%
20. Elena 18, ls.11	0,3912%	46. Marta 11	0,3125%	72. Teodoro 12	0,3157%
21. Elena 30	0,3363%	47. Miguel 08	0,2893%	73. Teodoro 30/32	0,7622%
22. Elena 32	0,3363%	48. Miguel 11	0,3246%	74. Teodoro 34	0,38935
23. Elena 34/36	0,5542%	49. Miguel 13	0,4246%	75. Teodoro 36	0,4443%
24. Elena 39	0,3402%	50. Miguel 14	0,3814%	76. WIVC	1,2305%
25. Eva 02	0,4390%	51. Miguel 15	0,3407%	77. MacDonald Res.	4,9039%
26. Eva 04	0,4390%	52. Miguel 16	0,3814%		

Votes in favour of APP

1. Alberto 08	0,3725%	5. Alberto 39	0,2924%	9. Dali 37	0,2180%
2. Alberto 12	0,3250%	6. Dali 21	0,3912%	10. Elena 06	0,3686%
3. Alberto 16	0,3404%	7. Dali 25	0,3681%	11. Elena 09	0,3250%
4. Alberto 38	0,3605%	8. Dali 27	0,3681%	12. Elena 11	0,3250%



13. Elena 15	0,3404%	34. Jorge 18	0,1371%	55. Picasso 16	0,1667%
14. Elena 20	0,3912%	35. Jorge 20/24	0,3818%	56. Picasso 20	0,1939%
15. Elena 25	0,3882%	36. Jorge 31	0,3031%	57. Picasso 24/26	0,2144%
16. Elena 31	0,3649%	37. Jorge 35	0,6341%	58. Picasso 30	0,1939%
17. Elena 33	0,3235%	38. Jorge 45	0,3482%	59. Picasso 36	0,0958%
18. Elena 35	0,3235%	39. Jorge 51	0,3464%	60. Picasso 44	0,3263%
19. Elena 41	0,3649%	40. Jorge 53	0,3465%	61. Picasso 46	0,1038%
20. Eva 03	0,1592%	41. Jorge 55	0,3625%	62. Picasso 48	0,1324%
21. Eva 06	0,3896%	42. Jorge 61, Te.10	0,7585%	63. Picasso 50	0,2282%
22. Eva 07/09	0,4910%	43. Jorge 67	0,5099%	64. Silvia 03	0,3726%
23. Eva 13	0,2554%	44. Marta 03	0,3917%	65. Silvia 16	0,3423%
24. Eva 23	0,4426%	45. Miguel 04	0,1487%	66. Silvia 26	0,3467%
25. Guillermo 08	0,1133%	46. Miguel 26	0,3603%	67. Silvia 28	0,3556%
26. Guillermo 12	0,3052%	47. Miguel 28	0,2257%	68. Silvia 38	0,3898%
27. Isabel 01	0,2894%	48. Patricia 10	0,1669%	69. Teodoro 06	0,3600%
28. Isabel 05	0,1487%	49. Patricia 12	0,2142%	70. Teodoro 07	0,1133%
29. Isabel 07	0,2893%	50. Patricia 22	0,1592%	71. Teodoro 09	0,1387%
30. Isabel 31	0,2179%	51. Patricia 25	0,2667%	72. Teodoro 24	0,5223%
31. Jo. 10/12, Te.11	0,5517%	52. Patricia 39	0,4111%		
32. Jorge 13/15	0,3811%	53. Patricia 41	0,2631%		
33. Jorge 14	0,2648%	54. Picasso 02	0,5245%		

Abstentions:

1. Elena 22	0.3681%
2. Jorge 16	0.2005%
3. Jorge 27	0.3735%
4. Jorge 63/65	0.7044%
5. Miguel 17	0.2203%

RESOLUTION O: Landscaping of the Beach Area: A Proposed Feasibility Study

1. For the feasibility design study. our landscape gardener has quoted. a price of 1.936 Euros (including VAT)
2. For the implementation of the beach landscaping which will be carried out by the community employees. the cost would be approximately 7.500 Euros. This is based on a design that is assumed to meet the Junta requirements. so we should allow an additional contingency of 15% as the Junta requirements may add to the scope and cost of the scheme. The total budget for the plants. irrigation. etc. would therefore be 8.625 Euros.

Mariola de Burgos pointed out that her lawyer has informed her that by law, as this area is outside Villacana. we cannot use Community money to finance this project.

The president said that he will check with the Community lawyer about this however his opinion is that even if it is not on community land, it is in close proximity and it offers environmental protection for the community, so it is for our benefit.

The voting took place for the undertaking a feasibility design study and implementing the beach landscaping if approved by the Junta de Andalucia. There were 96 votes in favour (including postal votes). 54 votes against and 19 abstentions. The motion was therefore approved.

Votes against

1. Alberto 04	0,3959%	7. Alberto 39	0,2924%	13. Elena 33	0,3235%
2. Alberto 15	0,2897%	8. Dali 07	0,3666%	14. Elena 39	0,3402%
3. Alberto 16	0,3404%	9. Elena 01	0,3966%	15. Eva 02	0,4390%
4. Alberto 17	0,1939%	10. Elena 09	0,3250%	16. Eva 03	0,1592%
5. Alberto 22	0,3882%	11. Elena 15	0,3404%	17. Eva 07/09	0,4910%
6. Alberto 24/28	0,7531%	12. Elena 31	0,3649%	18. Eva 15	0,1359%

19. Eva 17	0,2428%	32. Jorge 53	0,3465%	45. Picasso 20	0,1939%
20. Guillermo 08	0,1133%	33. Jorge 61, Te.10	0,7585%	46. Picasso 22	0,1788%
21. Guillermo 12	0,3052%	34. Marta 03	0,3917%	47. Picasso 44	0,3263%
22. Isabel 03	0,1487%	35. Marta 19	0,3481%	48. Picasso 46	0,1038%
23. Isabel 05	0,1487%	36. Miguel 04	0,1487%	49. Silvia 20	0,3503%
24. Isabel 19	0,3370%	37. Miguel 15	0,3407%	50. Silvia 28	0,3556%
25. Jorge 5/7	0,2075%	38. Miguel 19	0,3618%	51. Teodoro 03	0,1387%
26. Jorge 6/8	0,2267%	39. Miguel 20/24	0,6913%	52. Teodoro 06	0,3600%
27. Jorge 14	0,2648%	40. Patricia 02	0,2447%	53. Teodoro 07	0,1133%
28. Jorge 18	0,1371%	41. Patricia 12	0,2142%	54. Teodoro 09	0,1387%
29. Jorge 19/21/23	0,4665%	42. Patricia 25	0,2667%		
30. Jorge 35	0,6341%	43. Patricia 41	0,2631%		
31. Jorge 51	0,3464%	44. Picasso 02	0,5245%		

Abstentions

1. Alberto 12	0,3250%	8. Jorge 31	0,3031%	15. Silvia 07	0,3612%
2. Dali 27	0,3681%	9. Jorge 39	0,3826%	16. Silvia 26	0,3467%
3. Dali 37	0,2180%	10. Jorge 57	0,3625%	17. Teodoro 12	0,3157%
4. Elena 12	0,3213%	11. Marta 07	0,3684%	18. WIVC	1,2305%
5. Elena 41	0,3649%	12. Patricia 10	0,1669%	19. MacDonald Res.	4,9039%
6. Isabel 25	0,3603%	13. Patricia 22	0,1592%		
7. Casitas Villacana	0,9101%	14. Picasso 36	0,0958%		

RESOLUTION P: Gymnasium (Proposed by Oliver Golding & Kata Szokolay)

That a feasibility study be carried out for the installation of a gymnasium in the Community.

The president explained that two proposals were received for the installation of a gymnasium. However, in order to have a meaningful vote on a specific gymnasium we would need to have a feasibility study to understand what is deliverable. what is desirable. where would it be installed and what the costs are. Therefore. in the first instance. what is being voted for at this meeting is a feasibility study to identify what gymnasium we should or could deliver, to satisfy community needs.

Helga Garcia has offered to help with this matter.

The voting took place for the undertaking of a feasibility study to identify a gymnasium. There were 85 votes in favour (including postal votes) and 82 votes against and 14 abstentions. The motion was approved and the feasibility study will be carried out and the results will be reported back to owners.

Votes against:

1. Alberto 04	0,3959%	16. Elena 12	0,3213%	31. Eva 18	0,3414%
2. Alberto 08	0,3725%	17. Elena 15	0,3404%	32. Eva 20	0,3414%
3. Alberto 15	0,2897%	18. Elena 20	0,3912%	33. Eva 23	0,4426%
4. Alberto 21	0,1072%	19. Elena 30	0,3363%	34. Isabel 01	0,2894%
5. Alberto 24/28	0,7531%	20. Elena 31	0,3649%	35. Isabel 09	0,4024%
6. Alberto 26	0,3649%	21. Elena 32	0,3363%	36. Isabel 15	0,3814%
7. Alberto 36	0,3395%	22. Elena 34/36	0,5542%	37. Isabel 19	0,3370%
8. Alberto 43	0,4644%	23. Elena 39	0,3402%	38. Isabel 25	0,3603%
9. Dali 03	0,3900%	24. Elena 41	0,3649%	39. Isabel 31	0,2179%
10. Dali 07	0,3666%	25. Eva 02	0,4390%	40. Jorge 03	0,1592%
11. Dali 23	0,3912%	26. Eva 03	0,1592%	41. Jorge 9/11	0,3811%
12. Dali 37	0,2180%	27. Eva 04	0,4390%	42. Jorge 14	0,2648%
13. Elena 01	0,3966%	28. Eva 06	0,3896%	43. Casitas Villacana	0,9101%
14. Elena 22	0,3681%	29. Eva 07/09	0,4910%	44. Jorge 16	0,2005%
15. Elena 05	0,3725%	30. Eva 13	0,2554%	45. Jorge 25	0,5092%



46. Jorge 27	0,3735%	59. Miguel 17	0,2203%	72. Silvia 05	0,3612%
47. Jorge 28/30/32	0,4419%	60. Miguel 19	0,3618%	73. Silvia 07	0,3612%
48. Jorge 35	0,6341%	61. Miguel 26	0,3603%	74. Silvia 08	0,3684%
49. Jorge 51	0,3464%	62. Miguel 28	0,2257%	75. Silvia 11	0,3158%
50. Jorge 57	0,3625%	63. Patricia 04	0,2436%	76. Silvia 12	0,3256%
51. Marta 03	0,3917%	64. Patricia 10	0,1669%	77. Silvia 14	0,4513%
52. Marta 05	0,3684%	65. Patricia 22	0,1592%	78. Silvia 20	0,3503%
53. Marta 09	0,3125%	66. Patricia 23	0,2667%	79. Teodoro 03	0,1387%
54. Marta 11	0,3125%	67. Picasso 06	0,3185%	80. Teodoro 06	0,3600%
55. Miguel 11	0,3246%	68. Picasso 22	0,1788%	81. Teodoro 12	0,3157%
56. Miguel 13	0,4246%	69. Picasso 48	0,1324%	82. MacDonald Res.	4,9039%
57. Miguel 14	0,3814%	70. Picasso 50	0,2282%		
58. Miguel 15	0,3407%	71. Silvia 03	0,3726%		

Abstentions:

1. Dali 09	0.3200%	6. Jorge 31	0.3031%	11. Marta 07	0.3684%
2. Dali 17	0.5086%	7. Jorge 45	0.3482%	12. Marta 15	0.3423%
3. Elena 35	0.3882%	8. Jorge 55	0.3625%	13. Patricia 37	0.5542%
4. Jorge 5/7	0.2075%	9. Jorge 59	0.5696%	14. WIVC	1.2305%
5. Jorge 19/21/23	0.4665%	10. Marta 01	0.3917%		

RESOLUTION Q: Green mesh along the front-line fence (Proposed by Cristina Guimarey)

That the green mesh along the front-line fencing be removed

The voting took place and there were 46 votes in favour (including postal votes) of removing the green mesh along the front-line fencing. 84 votes against and 37 abstentions. The motion was therefore defeated.

Votes Against

1. Alberto 04	0,3959%	26. Eva 13	0,2554%	51. Marta 11	0,3125%
2. Alberto 08	0,3725%	27. Eva 18	0,3414%	52. Miguel 11	0,3246%
3. Alberto 15	0,2897%	28. Eva 20	0,3414%	53. Miguel 13	0,4246%
4. Alberto 16	0,3404%	29. Eva 23	0,4426%	54. Miguel 14	0,3814%
5. Alberto 21	0,1072%	30. Isabel 04	0,4404%	55. Miguel 16	0,3814%
6. Alberto 24/28	0,7531%	31. Isabel 09	0,4024%	56. Miguel 18	0,3370%
7. Alberto 26	0,3649%	32. Isabel 15	0,3814%	57. Miguel 19	0,3618%
8. Alberto 29/33	0,4644%	33. Jorge 1/3/17	0,5310%	58. Miguel 28	0,2257%
9. Alberto 36	0,3395%	34. Jorge 03	0,1592%	59. Patricia 02	0,2447%
10. Alberto 38	0,3605%	35. Jorge 5/7	0,2075%	60. Patricia 04	0,2436%
11. Dali 07	0,3666%	36. Jo. 10/12, Te.11	0,5517%	61. Patricia 10	0,1669%
12. Dali 21	0,3912%	37. Jorge 9/11	0,3811%	62. Patricia 23	0,2667%
13. Dali 23	0,3912%	38. Jorge 13/15	0,3811%	63. Patricia 37	0,5542%
14. Dali 27	0,3681%	39. Casitas Villacana	0,9101%	64. Patricia 39	0,4111%
15. Dali 33	0,3363%	40. Jorge 16	0,2005%	65. Patricia 43	0,4398%
16. Elena 01	0,3966%	41. Jorge 19/21/23	0,4665%	66. Patricia 45, Te.8	0,9142%
17. Elena 11	0,3250%	42. Jorge 27	0,3735%	67. Picasso 06	0,3185%
18. Elena 12	0,3213%	43. Jorge 31	0,3031%	68. Picasso 22	0,1788%
19. Elena 25	0,3882%	44. Jorge 35	0,6341%	69. Picasso 30	0,1939%
20. Elena 30	0,3363%	45. Jorge 51	0,3464%	70. Picasso 48	0,1324%
21. Elena 32	0,3363%	46. Jorge 59	0,5696%	71. Picasso 50	0,2282%
22. Elena 39	0,3402%	47. Jorge 63/65	0,7044%	72. Silvia 08	0,3684%
23. Elena 41	0,3649%	48. Jorge 67	0,5099%	73. Silvia 11	0,3158%
24. Eva 02	0,4390%	49. Marta 01	0,3917%	74. Silvia 12	0,3256%
25. Eva 03	0,1592%	50. Marta 05	0,3684%	75. Silvia 14	0,4513%

76. Silvia 16	0,3423%	79. Teodoro 03	0,1387%	82. Teodoro 30/32	0,7622%
77. Silvia 26	0,3467%	80. Teodoro 06	0,3600%	83. Teodoro 34	0,3893%
78. Silvia 38	0,3898%	81. Teodoro 12	0,3157%	84. Macdonald Res.	4,9039%

Abstentions

1. Alberto 01	0.4445%	14. Eva 07/09	0.4910%	27. Miguel 15	0.3407%
2. Alberto 12	0.3250%	15. Eva 15	0.1359%	28. Miguel 17	0.2203%
3. Alberto 23/27	0.3011%	16. Eva 17	0.2428%	29. Patricia 12	0.2142%
4. Alberto 43	0.4644%	17. Isabel 01	0.2894%	30. Patricia 22	0.1592%
5. Dali 09	0.3200%	18. Isabel 07	0.2893%	31. Patricia 41	0.2631%
6. Dali 17	0.5086%	19. Isabel 19	0.3370%	32. Picasso 16	0.1667%
7. Dali 25	0.3681%	20. Isabel 25	0.3603%	33. Silvia 05	0.3612%
8. Elena 05	0.3725%	21. Jorge 25	0.5092%	34. Silvia 07	0.3612%
9. Elena 06	0.3686%	22. Jorge 45	0.3482%	35. Teodoro 24	0.5223%
10. Elena 15	0.3404%	23. Marta 03	0.3917%	36. Teodoro 36	0.4443%
11. Elena 18; Is.11	0.7936%	24. Marta 07	0.3684%	37. WIVC	1.2305%
12. Elena 31	0.3649%	25. Marta 15	0.3423%		
13. Eva 06	0.3896%	26. Miguel 04	0.1487%		

RESOLUTION R: Replace street light with solar lights (Proposed by Cristina Guimarey)

Consider the gradual replacement of existing streetlights with ones with their own built-in solar panels that can be used all year round.

The cost of the units that would be adequate for our street lighting are approximately 700 Euros each.

The voting took place and there were 84 votes in favour (including postal votes) of trying out one or two solar street lights non-trial basis. 63 votes against and 15 abstentions. The motion was therefore approved.

Votes against

1. Alberto 15	0,2897%	23. Eva 02	0,4390%	45. Miguel 15	0,3407%
2. Alberto 16	0,3404%	24. Eva 04	0,4390%	46. Miguel 19	0,3618%
3. Alberto 17	0,1939%	25. Eva 13	0,2554%	47. Miguel 20/24	0,6913%
4. Alberto 21	0,1072%	26. Eva 18	0,3414%	48. Patricia 04	0,2436%
5. Alberto 24/28	0,7531%	27. Eva 20	0,3414%	49. Patricia 10	0,4653%
6. Alberto 26	0,3649%	28. Jorge 06/08	0,2267%	50. Patricia 23	0,2667%
7. Alberto 36	0,3395%	29. Jorge 9/11	0,3811%	51. Picasso 22	0,1788%
8. Alberto 43	0,4644%	30. Jo. 10/12, Te.11	0,5517%	52. Picasso 48	0,1324%
9. Dali 03	0,3900%	31. Jorge 13/15	0,3811%	53. Picasso 50	0,2282%
10. Dali 07	0,3666%	32. Casitas Villacana	0,9101%	54. Silvia 05	0,3612%
11. Dali 09	0,3200%	33. Jorge 19/21/23	0,4665%	55. Silvia 07	0,3612%
12. Dali 23	0,3912%	34. Jorge 25	0,5092%	56. Silvia 08	0,3684%
13. Dali 33	0,3363%	35. Jorge 27	0,3735%	57. Silvia 11	0,3158%
14. Elena 01	0,3966%	36. Jorge 35	0,6341%	58. Silvia 20	0,3503%
15. Elena 22	0,3681%	37. Jorge 57	0,3625%	59. Teodoro 03	0,1387%
16. Elena 05	0,3725%	38. Marta 03	0,3917%	60. Teodoro 06	0,3600%
17. Elena 18, Is.11	0,7936%	39. Marta 05	0,3684%	61. Teodoro 12	0,3157%
18. Elena 30	0,3363%	40. Marta 09	0,3125%	62. Teodoro 34	0,3893%
19. Elena 31	0,3649%	41. Marta 11	0,3125%	63. Macdonald Res.	4,9039%
20. Elena 32	0,3363%	42. Miguel 08	0,2893%		
21. Elena 39	0,3402%	43. Miguel 11	0,3246%		
22. Elena 41	0,3649%	44. Miguel 13	0,4246%		

Abstentions

1. Dali 17	0.5086%	6. Jorge 16	0.2005%	11. Miguel 17	0.2203%
2. Dali 37	0.2180%	7. Jorge 31	0.3031%	12. Picasso 16	0.1667%
3. Elena 11	0.3250%	8. Jorge 45	0.3482%	13. Picasso 36	0.0958%
4. Elena 12	0.3213%	9. Jorge 55	0.3625%	14. Silvia 03	0.3726%
5. Isabel 07	0.2893%	10. Marta 07	0.3684%	15. WIVC	1.2305%

RESOLUTION S: Bicycle storage (Proposed by Kata Szokolay)

That a bicycle storage be available

The options are open air stands at the end of Calle Dali temporarily and a to investigate options for use of space in Aztec Restaurant when it is vacated.

The voting took place and there were 74 votes in favour (including postal votes) representing 29.7629% of the global coefficient of open air stands for bicycles being installed at the end of Calle Dali temporarily. 58 votes against representing 21.0020% and 28 abstentions. The motion was therefore approved.

Votes against

1. Alberto 04	0,3959%	21. Eva 07/09	0,4910%	41. Miguel 11	0,3246%
2. Alberto 08	0,3725%	22. Eva 18	0,3414%	42. Miguel 13	0,4246%
3. Alberto 15	0,2897%	23. Eva 20	0,3414%	43. Miguel 14	0,3814%
4. Alberto 21	0,1072%	24. Isabel 01	0,2894%	44. Miguel 15	0,3407%
5. Alberto 24/28	0,7531%	25. Isabel 09	0,4024%	45. Miguel 19	0,3618%
6. Alberto 26	0,3649%	26. Isabel 19	0,3370%	46. Miguel 28	0,2257%
7. Dali 03	0,3900%	27. Isabel 25	0,3603%	47. Patricia 22	0,1592%
8. Dali 07	0,3666%	28. Isabel 31	0,2179%	48. Patricia 23	0,2667%
9. Dali 09	0,3200%	29. Jorge 6/8	0,2267%	49. Picasso 06	0,3185%
10. Dali 23	0,3912%	30. Casitas Villacana	0,9101%	50. Picasso 22	0,1788%
11. Elena 01	0,3966%	31. Jorge 20/24	0,3818%	51. Picasso 40	0,2315%
12. Elena 22	0,3681%	32. Jorge 25	0,50925%	52. Picasso 48	0,1324%
13. Elena 05	0,3725%	33. Jorge 27	0,3735%	53. Picasso 50	0,2282%
14. Elena 11	0,3250%	34. Jorge 28/30/32	0,4419%	54. Silvia 07	0,3612%
15. Elena 12	0,3213%	35. Jorge 39	0,3826%	55. Teodoro 03	0,1387%
16. Elena 18,Is.11	0,7936%	36. Jorge 51	0,3464%	56. Teodoro 06	0,3600%
17. Elena 32	0,3363%	37. Jorge 59	0,5696%	57. Teodoro 12	0,3157%
18. Elena 41	0,3649%	38. Marta 03	0,3917%	58. Teodoro 34	0,3893%
19. Eva 02	0,4390%	39. Marta 05	0,3684%		
20. Eva 03	0,1592%	40. Marta 09	0,3125%		

Abstentions

1. Alberto 17	0,1939%	11. Eva 06	0,3896%	21. Marta 07	0,3684%
2. Dali 17	0,5086%	12. Eva 15	0,1359%	22. Marta 11	0,3125%
3. Dali 25	0,3681%	13. Eva 17	0,2428%	23. Patricia 02	0,2447%
4. Dali 37	0,2180%	14. Isabel 07	0,2893%	24. Patricia 37	0,5542%
5. Elena 06	0,3686%	15. Jorge 13/15	0,3811%	25. Picasso 16	0,1667%
6. Elena 15	0,3404%	16. Jorge 16	0,2005%	26. Silvia 12	0,3256%
7. Elena 25	0,3882%	17. Jorge 35	0,6341%	27. Teodoro 24	0,5223%
8. Elena 31	0,3649%	18. Jorge 45	0,3482%	28. WIVC	1,2305%
9. Elena 34/36	0,5542%	19. Jorge 63/65	0,7044%		
10. Elena 39	0,3402%	20. Marta 01	0,3917%		



RESOLUTION T: Laundry Service Provider (Proposed by Cristina Guimarey)

That the laundry service provider be changed

That the laundry service provider be changed as the machines we have at present are obsolete models that neither clean nor do their job. we have to clean the filters themselves before using them. It could be coin-operated (like the self-service laundry in Cancelada) and thus not depend on the office and its timetables.

The voting took place and there were 99 votes in favour (including postal votes) in favour of updating the laundry room. 43 votes against and 29 abstentions. The motion was therefore approved.

Votes against

1. Alberto 04	0,3959%	16. Eva 02	0,4390%	31. Miguel 19	0,3618%
2. Alberto 15	0,2897%	17. Eva 03	0,1592%	32. Patricia 04	0,2436%
3. Alberto 17	0,1939%	18. Eva 04	0,4390%	33. Patricia 23	0,2667%
4. Alberto 24/28	0,7531%	19. Eva 18	0,3414%	34. Picasso 22	0,1788%
5. Alberto 26	0,3649%	20. Isabel 09	0,4024%	35. Picasso 48	0,1324%
6. Alberto 36	0,3395%	21. Jo. 10/12, Te.11	0,5517%	36. Silvia 03	0,3726%
7. Dali 07	0,3666%	22. Jorge 25	0,5092%	37. Silvia 05	0,3612%
8. Dali 08	0,3200%	23. Jorge 45	0,3482%	38. Silvia 08	0,3684%
9. Dali 23	0,3912%	24. Jorge 57	0,3625%	39. Silvia 11	0,3158%
10. Elena 01	0,3966%	25. Jorge 63/65	0,7044%	40. Silvia 20	0,3503%
11. Elena 12	0,3213%	26. Marta 05	0,3684%	41. Silvia 26	0,3467%
12. Elena 30	0,3363%	27. Miguel 11	0,3246%	42. Teodoro 03	0,1387%
13. Elena 32	0,3363%	28. Miguel 13	0,4246%	43. Teodoro 12	0,3157%
14. Elena 34/36	0,5542%	29. Miguel 14	0,3814%		
15. Elena 35	0,3235%	30. Miguel 15	0,3407%		

Abstentions

1. Alberto 08	0,3725%	11. Isabel 15	0,3814%	21. Marta 11	0,3125%
2. Alberto 21	0,1072%	12. Casitas Villacana	0,9101%	22. Patricia 22	0,1592%
3. Dali 17	0,5086%	13. Jorge 16	0,2005%	23. Picasso 36	0,0958%
4. Dali 25	0,3681%	14. Jorge 27	0,3735%	24. Picasso 50	0,2282%
5. Dali 33	0,3363%	15. Jorge 28/30/32	0,4419%	25. Silvia 07	0,3612%
6. Elena 22	0,3681%	16. Jorge 31	0,3031%	26. Silvia 12	0,3256%
7. Elena 06	0,3686%	17. Jorge 35	0,6341%	27. Teodoro 06	0,3600%
8. Elena 31	0,3649%	18. Jorge 51	0,3464%	28. Teodoro 24	0,5223%
9. Elena 39	0,3402%	19. Jorge 59	0,5696%	29. MacDonald Res.	4,9039%
10. Eva 20	0,3414%	20. Marta 07	0,3684%		

RESOLUTION U: Swimming Pool Reserved times (Proposed by Dannielle Heximer. Sophie Brown & Antonio Carrillo)

The voting took place for the stopping of the dedicated swim time for residents with disabilities in the Eva pool and moving it to one dedicated lane cordoned off in the main swimming pool. There were 60 votes in favour of this proposal. 78 against and 35 abstentions (including postal votes). This motion was therefore defeated and the dedicated swimming time will therefore remain in the Eva swimming pool for the time being.

Votes against

1. Alberto 08	0,3725%	6. Alberto 29/33	0,4644%	11. Dali 25	0,3681%
2. Alberto 16	0,3404%	7. Alberto 39	0,2924%	12. Dali 27	0,3681%
3. Alberto 23/27	0,3011%	8. Alberto 43	0,4644%	13. Dali 33	0,3363%
4. Alberto 24/28	0,7531%	9. Dali 03	0,3900%	14. Dali 37	0,2180%
5. Alberto 26	0,3649%	10. Dali 21	0,3912%	15. Elena 09	0,3250%



16. Elena 11	0,3250%	37. Jorge 9/11	0,3811%	58. Patricia 39	0,4111%
17. Elena 20	0,3912%	38. Jorge 19/21/23	0,4665%	59. Patricia 41	0,2631%
18. Elena 25	0,3882%	39. Jorge 28/30/32	0,4419%	60. Patricia 45, Te.8	0,9142%
19. Elena 33	0,3235%	40. Jorge 31	0,3031%	61. Picasso 02	0,5245%
20. Elena 35	0,3235%	41. Jorge 39	0,3826%	62. Picasso 06	0,3185%
21. Elena 39	0,3402%	42. Jorge 51	0,3464%	63. Picasso 20	0,1939%
22. Elena 41	0,3649%	43. Jorge 53	0,3465%	64. Picasso 24/26	0,2144%
23. Eva 02	0,4390%	44. Jorge 61, Te.10	0,7585%	65. Picasso 30	0,1939%
24. Eva 03	0,1592%	45. Jorge 67	0,5099%	66. Picasso 44	0,3263%
25. Eva 04	0,4390%	46. Marta 05	0,3684%	67. Picasso 46	0,1038%
26. Eva 13	0,2554%	47. Marta 09	0,3125%	68. Silvia 04	0,3917%
27. Eva 14	0,3246%	48. Miguel 04	0,1487%	69. Silvia 16	0,3423%
28. Eva 20	0,3414%	49. Miguel 11	0,3246%	70. Silvia 20	0,3503%
29. Eva 23	0,4426%	50. Miguel 15	0,3407%	71. Silvia 28	0,3556%
30. Guillermo 08	0,1133%	51. Miguel 18	0,3370%	72. Silvia 38	0,3898%
31. Guillermo 12	0,3052%	52. Miguel 20/24	0,6913%	73. Teodoro 06	0,3600%
32. Isabel 05	0,1487%	53. Miguel 28	0,2257%	74. Teodoro 07	0,1133%
33. Isabel 07	0,2893%	54. Patricia 12	0,2142%	75. Teodoro 09	0,1387%
34. Isabel 19	0,3370%	55. Patricia 22	0,1592%	76. Teodoro 24	0,5223%
35. Isabel 25	0,3603%	56. Patricia 23	0,2667%	77. Teodoro 30/32	0,7622%
36. Isabel 31	0,2179%	57. Patricia 25	0,2667%	78. Teodoro 36	0,4443%

Abstentions

1. Alberto 12	0,3250%	13. Guillermo 10	0,1533%	25. Miguel 17	0,2203%
2. Alberto 16	0,3404%	14. Isabel 01	0,2894%	26. Patricia 13/15	0,4653%
3. Alberto 17	0,1939%	15. Jorge 13/15	0,3811%	27. Picasso 16	0,1667%
4. Alberto 21	0,1072%	16. Jorge 16	0,2005%	28. Picasso 36	0,0958%
5. Dali 07	0,3666%	17. Jorge 25	0,5092%	29. Picasso 40	0,2315%
6. Dali 09	0,3200%	18. Jorge 27	0,3735%	30. Picasso 48	0,1324%
7. Dali 17	0,5086%	19. Jorge 45	0,3482%	31. Silvia 12	0,3256%
8. Elena 24	0,3681%	20. Jorge 59	0,5696%	32. Silvia 26	0,3467%
9. Elena 34/36	0,5542%	21. Jorge 63/65	0,7044%	33. Teodoro 04	0,3726%
10. Eva 11, Pa.20	0,2623%	22. Marta 07	0,3684%	34. Teodoro 34	0,3893%
11. Eva 15	0,1359%	23. Marta 11	0,3125%	35. WIVC	1,2305%
12. Eva 17	0,2428%	24. Miguel 08	0,2893%		

RESOLUTION V: Quarterly Accounts (Proposed by Rafael Muñoz)

That Income and Expenditure accounts of the Community to be sent to owners on a quarterly basis

The voting took place for the sending out to owners and Income and Expenditure accounts of the Community on a quarterly basis. There were 65 votes in favour (including postal votes) representing 20.6441% of the global coefficient, 75 votes against representing 31.1095% of the global coefficient and 30 abstentions representing 13.1684%. The motion was therefore rejected.

Votes against

1. Alberto 04	0.3959%	9. Alberto 36	0.3395%	17. Elena 22	0.3681%
2. Alberto 08	0.3725%	10. Alberto 38	0.3605%	18. Elena 05	0.3725%
3. Alberto 15	0.2897%	11. Alberto 43	0.4644%	19. Elena 15	0.3404%
4. Alberto 17	0.1939%	12. Dali 03	0.3900%	20. Elena 30	0.3363%
5. Alberto 23/27	0.3011%	13. Dali 07	0.3666%	21. Elena 31	0.3649%
6. Alberto 24/28	0.7531%	14. Dali 23	0.3912%	22. Elena 32	0.3363%
7. Alberto 26	0.3649%	15. Dali 33	0.3363%	23. Elena 35	0.3235%
8. Alberto 29/33	0.4644%	16. Elena 01	0.3966%	24. Elena 39	0.3402%



25. Eva 02	0.4390%	42. Jorge 67	0.5099%	59. Picasso 06	0.3185%
26. Eva 04	0.4390%	43. Marta 05	0.3684%	60. Picasso 16	0.1667%
27. Eva 13	0.2554%	44. Miguel 11	0.3246%	61. Picasso 22	0.1788%
28. Eva 14	0.3246%	45. Miguel 13	0.4246%	62. Picasso 34	0.1553%
29. Eva 18	0.3414%	46. Miguel 14	0.3814%	63. Picasso 48	0.13324%
30. Eva 20	0.3414%	47. Miguel 15	0.3407%	64. Picasso 50	0.2282%
31. Eva 23	0.4426%	48. Miguel 16	0.3814%	65. Silvia 03	0.3726%
32. Isabel 03	0.1487%	49. Miguel 17	0.2203%	66. Silvia 05	0.3612%
33. Isabel 07	0.2893%	50. Miguel 18	0.3370%	67. Silvia 08	0.3684%
34. Isabel 09	0.4024%	51. Miguel 19	0.3618%	68. Silvia 11	0.3158%
35. Isabel 15	0.3814%	52. Miguel 20/24	0.6913%	69. Silvia 12	0.3256%
36. Isabel 19	0.3370%	53. Miguel 26	0.3603%	70. Teodoro 03	0.1387%
37. Isabel 25	0.3603%	54. Miguel 28	0.2257%	71. Teodoro 12	0.3157%
38. Isabel 31	0.2179%	55. Patricia 04	0.2436%	72. Teodoro 30/32	0.7622%
39. Jo. 10/12, Te.11	0.5517%	56. Patricia 22	0.1592%	73. Teodoro 34	0.3893%
40. Jorge 31	0.3031%	57. Patricia 23	0.2667%	74. Teodoro 36	0.4443%
41. Jorge 57	0.3625%	58. Patricia 45, Te.8	0.9142%	75. MacDonald Res.	439039%

Abstentions:

1. Dali 17	0,5086%	11. Jorge 09/11	0,3811%	21. Marta 01	0,3917%
2. Dali 25	0,3681%	12. Casitas Villacana	0,9101%	22. Marta 07	0,3684%
3. Elena 12	0,3213%	13. Jorge 16	0,2005%	23. Patricia 02	0,2447%
4. Elena 34/36	0,5542%	14. Jorge 25	0,5092%	24. Patricia 37	0,5542%
5. Eva 03	0,1592%	15. Jorge 28/30/32	0,4419%	25. Silvia 04	0,3917%
6. Eva 06	0,3896%	16. Jorge 35	0,6341%	26. Silvia 07	0,3612%
7. Eva 07/09	0,4910%	17. Jorge 39	0,3826%	27. Silvia 14	0,4513%
8. Isabel 01	0,2894%	18. Jorge 51	0,3464%	28. Teodoro 06	0,3600%
9. Jorge 1/2/17	0,5310%	19. Jorge 55	0,3625%	29. Teodoro 24	0,5223%
10. Jorge 03	0,1592%	20. Jorge 59	0,5696%	30. WIVC	1,2305%

RESOLUTION W: Straw Parasols around the swimming pools (Proposed by Esther de la Torre Millan)

That straw parasols be installed around the swimming pools for shade at a cost of 247.17 Euros per parasol

The voting took place for installation of straw parasols around the swimming pools. There were 47 votes in favour (including postal votes). 104 votes against and 20 abstentions. The motion was therefore rejected.

Votes against

1. Alberto 04	0,3959%	15. Dali 09	0,3200%	29. Elena 32	0,3363%
2. Alberto 08	0,3725%	16. Dali 17	0,5086%	30. Elena 39	0,3402%
3. Alberto 12	0,3250%	17. Dali 21	0,3912%	31. Elena 41	0,3649%
4. Alberto 15	0,2897%	18. Dali 23	0,3912%	32. Eva 02	0,4390%
5. Alberto 16	0,3404%	19. Dali 25	0,3681%	33. Eva 03	0,1592%
6. Alberto 17	0,1939%	20. Dali 27	0,3681%	34. Eva 04	0,4390%
7. Alberto 22	0,3882%	21. Dali 33	0,3363%	35. Eva 06	0,3896%
8. Alberto 24/28	0,7531%	22. Elena 01	0,3966%	36. Eva 11, Pa.20	0,2623%
9. Alberto 26	0,3649%	23. Elena 22	0,3681%	37. Eva 13	0,2554%
10. Alberto 29/33	0,4644%	24. Elena 05	0,3725%	38. Eva 14	0,3246%
11. Alberto 36	0,3395%	25. Elena 11	0,3250%	39. Eva 15	0,1359%
12. Alberto 43	0,4644%	26. Elena 15	0,3404%	40. Eva 17	0,2428%
13. Dali 03	0,3900%	27. Elena 30	0,3363%	41. Eva 20	0,3414%
14. Dali 07	0,3666%	28. Elena 31	0,3649%	42. Guillermo 10	0,1533%

43. Isabel 01	0,2894%	64. Jorge 67	0,5099%	85. Picasso 22	0,1788%
44. Isabel 03	0,1487%	65. Marta 01	0,3917%	86. Picasso 30	0,1939%
45. Isabel 04	0,4404%	66. Marta 05	0,3684%	87. Picasso 48	0,1324%
46. Isabel 07	0,2893%	67. Miguel 11	0,3246%	88. Silvia 03	0,3726%
47. Isabel 15	0,3814%	68. Miguel 13	0,4246%	89. Silvia 05	0,3612%
48. Isabel 19	0,3370%	69. Miguel 14	0,3814%	90. Silvia 07	0,3612%
49. Isabel 25	0,3603%	70. Miguel 15	0,3407%	91. Silvia 08	0,3684%
50. Jorge 1/2/17	0,5310%	71. Miguel 18	0,3370%	92. Silvia 11	0,3158%
51. Jorge 03	0,1592%	72. Miguel 19	0,3618%	93. Silvia 12	0,3256%
52. Jorge 9/11	0,3811%	73. Miguel 20/24	0,6913%	94. Silvia 14	0,4513%
53. Jo. 10/12, Te.11	0,5517%	74. Miguel 26	0,3603%	95. Silvia 16	0,3423%
54. Jorge 14	0,2648%	75. Patricia 02	0,2447%	96. Silvia 20	0,3503%
55. Casitas Villacana	0,9101%	76. Patricia 04	0,2436%	97. Silvia 38	0,3898%
56. Jorge 16	0,2005%	77. Patricia 13/15	0,4653%	98. Teodoro 03	0,1387%
57. Jorge 27	0,3735%	78. Patricia 22	0,1592%	99. Teodoro 04	0,3726%
58. Jorge 31	0,3031%	79. Patricia 23	0,2667%	100. Teodoro 06	0,3600%
59. Jorge 39	0,3826%	80. Patricia 37	0,5542%	101. Teodoro 12	0,3157%
60. Jorge 51	0,3464%	81. Patricia 39	0,4111%	102. Teodoro 30/32	0,7622%
61. Jorge 57	0,3625%	82. Patricia 43	0,4398%	103. Teodoro 34	0,3893%
62. Jorge 59	0,5696%	83. Patricia 45, Te.8	0,9142%	104. Macdonald Res.	4,9039%
63. Jorge 63/65	0,7044%	84. Picasso 06	0,3185%		

Abstentions:

1. Dali 37	0.2180%	8. Jorge 35	0.6341%	15. Picasso 24/26	0.2144%
2. Elena 06	0.3686%	9. Jorge 45	0.3482%	16. Picasso 36	0.0958%
3. Eva 07/09	0.4910%	10. Marta 07	0.3684%	17. Picasso 50	0.2282%
4. Jorge 05/07	0.2075%	11. Marta 11	0.3125%	18. Teodoro 24	0.5223%
5. Jorge 19/21/23	0.4665%	12. Miguel 04	0.1487%	19. Teodoro 36	0.4443%
6. Jorge 25	0.5092%	13. Patricia 12	0.2142%	20. WIVC	1.2305%
7. Jorge 28/30/32	0.4419%	14. Patricia 41	0.2631%		

RESOLUTION X: Personal Items in Common Areas (Proposed by Anne Turner)

No personal effects to be left in common areas including scooters

The voting took place and there were 153 votes in favour (including postal votes). 9 votes against and 6 abstentions. The motion was approved and therefore no personal items can be left in common areas, if found the Community employees will remove to a stored area for collection -during office hours only

Votes against

1. Alberto 04	0,3959%	6. Marta 05	0,3684%
2. Dali 07	0,3666%	7. Marta 19	0,34815%
3. Dali 09	0,3200%	8. Miguel 11	0,3246%
4. Isabel 05	0,3603%	9. Miguel 17	0,2203%
5. Jo. 10/12, Te.11	0,5517%		

Abstentions

1. Elena 18, Is.11 0,7936%
2. Isabel 01 0,28945
3. Jorge 28/30/32 0,4419%
4. Jorge 45 0,3482%
5. Marta 07 0,3684%
6. Picasso 48 0,13245

At this point due to the time and the length of the meeting the president closed the AGM 2024 at 4:30pm.

Date of the next Meeting

The next AGM will be held on Saturday, the 26th April 2025

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'Isabel', written on a light-colored background.A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be 'Isabel', written on a light-colored background.